# Farmersville Independent School District Tatum Elementary School 2022-2023 Campus Improvement Plan



# **Table of Contents**

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	3
Demographics	3
Student Learning	4
School Processes & Programs	5
Perceptions	6
Priority Problem Statements	7
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	8
Goals	10
Goal 1: Maintain a TEA District "A" rating through successful student performance on the state STAAR tests (Reading/ELAR, Mathematics, Science, and Social Studies)	11
Goal 2: Qualified and highly effective personnel will be recruited, developed, and retained.	16
Goal 3: All students will be educated in learning environments that are safe and conducive to learning.	20
Goal 4: Through enhanced dropout prevention efforts, all students will remain in school until they obtain a high school diploma.	23
Goal 5: The district will increase the number of students graduating college, career, and/or military ready.	25
Goal 6: Tatum Elementary will meet or exceed the recommended attendance rate of 96%.	28
Goal 7: Tatum Elementary will emphasize parental and community involvement, ensuring that parents will be full partners with educators in the education of their children.	29
State Compensatory	30
Budget for Tatum Elementary School	31
Personnel for Tatum Elementary School	31
Title I Personnel	31

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

#### **Demographics**

#### **Demographics Summary**

The Tatum Elementary Campus includes PK - 2nd Grade

The staff at Tatum Elementary School includes 35 teachers, 13 paraprofessionals, 2 professional support members, and 2 administrators.

Campus Profile: Grade Span: PK – 2nd grade

Student Enrollment by Ethnicity:

Am. Indian -0.58%

Asian -0.19%

African American – 3.28%

Hispanic – 46.24%

Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander - 0.58%

White – 44.32%

Two or more races - 4.82%

EcoDis: - 63.39%

Non Eco Dis: 36.61%

Emergent Bilingual: 17.92%

#### Student Enrollment:

Emergent Bilingual: 17.92%

Gifted - .77%

Sp Ed - 17.34%

At Risk - 24.47%

Dyslexia - 4.05%

#### **Demographics Strengths**

Highly qualified Teachers

High Attendance Rate

Low mobility rate

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs**

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** Low social economic students are showing the least amount of academic growth. **Root Cause:** Students that are identified as low social economic and at risk struggle with outside school support.

**Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized):** Second language learners are not showing the academic growth needed to be on grade level. **Root Cause:** Second language learners have challenges in understanding and speaking the English language.

#### **Student Learning**

#### **Student Learning Summary**

**Tatum Elementary** 

All schools in Texas must meet standards set in four state accountability areas. The latest assessment data for STAAR comes from the 2021-2022 school year and are the results from Farmersville Intermediate. The results are as follows: **3rd Grade**: **Math** - Approaches: 70%; Meets: 38; Masters: 12%; **Reading** - Approaches: 82%; Meets: 61%; Masters: 39%; **4th Grade: Math** - Approaches: 66%; Meets: 37%; Masters: 15%; **Reading** - Approaches: 93%; Meets: 62%; Masters: 30%; **5th Grade: Math** - Approaches: 71%; Meets: 34%; Masters: 9%; **Reading** - Approaches: 79%; Meets: 52%; Masters: 34%; **Science** - Approaches: 78%; Meets: 43%; Masters: 17%. Targeted intervention strategies have been implemented to accelerate learning and close achievement gaps, including literacy stations, pull-outs, and on-going literacy assessments. Teachers also understand the purpose of targeted instruction and intervention is to close the performance gaps of all student groups. Parents receive regular updates regarding their students' progress so that parents can continue to be partners in the education of their children.

#### **Student Learning Strengths**

Tatum Elementary School's students are in a learning environment that is conducive to learning and are engaged in lessons. The students show growth in academic performance which leads to a smooth transition to the next grade level.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs**

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** Reading levels of 60% of 1st graders going into 2nd grade have been below grade level. **Root Cause:** Rigor needs to be increased to reach high expectations

#### **School Processes & Programs**

#### **School Processes & Programs Summary**

Tatum Elementary Curriculum and Instructional focus is guided by the TEKS, content area scope and sequences, along with the results of campus based assessments. The TEKS including critical thinking and problem solving; communication skills; creativity; collaboration; and information/media literacy skills. Each grading period, the teacher, along with an administrator analyze formative and summative assessments to map out specific skills and interventions needed for the upcoming grading period. During this time, teachers map out their plan for reaching all of their students to show growth through the dis aggregation of data, and question-stem vocabulary.

Teachers are equipped with research based/highly quality professional development to meet the needs of all students. All new teachers are provided with a campus mentor to meet with on a regular basis and give constructive feedback. It is important at Farmersville ISD to provide ongoing professional learning. Teachers are scheduled for several staff development days during the course of the year - most of them organized at the campus level to provide targeted staff development. The planning and professional learning days are a collaborative effort for all staff/teachers to feel that they have input in decision making, and feel that administration is supportive and willing to do whatever it takes to help them accomplish their goals.

#### **School Processes & Programs Strengths**

Teachers meet weekly to plan and collaborate in lesson planning.

Each teacher meets with the assistant principal to discuss student needs and to document data for the RTI process. RTI meetings are scheduled and communication among all staff members and parents is on-going.

Teachers identify interpret summative and formative assessments to drive instructional decisions.

Technology is utilized in the classroom to engage students.

Teachers are highly qualified

Curriculum and academic resources/programs are assessed to determine validity and rigor.

#### Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** The reading curriculum and practices need to be more systematic and rigorous. **Root Cause:** Collaboration among teachers, staff and administration.

#### **Perceptions**

#### **Perceptions Summary**

Tatum Elementary is a safe place for students and staff to be that they are comfortable and respected. It is very important that the parents of all students feel that their student is in an environment that is conducive to learning. Communication among teachers, parents and administration is open and a collaborative effort to grow students academically and socially. Parents expressed that teachers, administration and staff are easy to talk to and that all have the best interest of the student. The students expressed that they love to come to school to learn and play. They feel that Tatum is a safe place to learn. The teachers and staff expressed that the campus is safe and campus administration is supportive and helpful. School discipline is clear and there are consequences to behavior. The staff states that the campus is safe and actions are taken to monitor and actively make adjustments to building emergency action plans.

#### **Perceptions Strengths**

Students love learning and being at school.

Parents feel that they are heard and that their children are in a loving environment.

The academic and behavior expectations are high and important.

The building and environment are a conducive to learning, inviting and safe.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs**

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** Parents want to volunteer and be more involved in the schools. **Root Cause:** Parents do not attend PTO meetings to know what the opportunities are to volunteer.

# **Priority Problem Statements**

**Problem Statement 1**: Parents want to volunteer and be more involved in the schools.

Root Cause 1: Parents do not attend PTO meetings to know what the opportunities are to volunteer.

**Problem Statement 1 Areas:** Perceptions

Problem Statement 2: Low social economic students are showing the least amount of academic growth.

Root Cause 2: Students that are identified as low social economic and at risk struggle with outside school support.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Demographics

**Problem Statement 3**: Second language learners are not showing the academic growth needed to be on grade level.

Root Cause 3: Second language learners have challenges in understanding and speaking the English language.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Demographics

**Problem Statement 4**: Reading levels of 60% of 1st graders going into 2nd grade have been below grade level.

**Root Cause 4**: Rigor needs to be increased to reach high expectations

**Problem Statement 4 Areas:** Student Learning

**Problem Statement 5**: The reading curriculum and practices need to be more systematic and rigorous.

**Root Cause 5**: Collaboration among teachers, staff and administration.

**Problem Statement 5 Areas**: School Processes & Programs

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation**

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

#### **Improvement Planning Data**

- District goals
- Campus goals

#### **Accountability Data**

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Effective Schools Framework data
- Comprehensive, Targeted, and/or Additional Targeted Support Identification data
- Accountability Distinction Designations

#### **Student Data: Assessments**

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Student failure and/or retention rates
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- · Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Running Records results
- Observation Survey results
- Istation Indicators of Progress (ISIP) reading assessment data for Grades PK-2
- Prekindergarten Self-Assessment Tool
- Texas approved PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- Other PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- · Grades that measure student performance based on the TEKS

#### **Student Data: Student Groups**

- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Migrant/non-migrant population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance and mobility data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- · Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

#### Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

• Attendance data

- Student surveys and/or other feedback
- Class size averages by grade and subject
- School safety data
- Enrollment trends

#### **Employee Data**

- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
   Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact

# Goals

Goal 1: Maintain a TEA District "A" rating through successful student performance on the state STAAR tests (Reading/ELAR, Mathematics, Science, and Social Studies)

**Performance Objective 1:** Tatum Elementary students will meet literacy targets on mClass to prepare students for obtaining 90% Approaches, 60% Meets, and 30% Masters on the STAAR Reading in 3rd grade.

Evaluation Data Sources: mClass data

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Ensure each campus has Spanish-speaking personnel to work with students.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION - staffing data, schedules 2. IMPACT - ELL student progress reports	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent; Campus Administrator				
Strategy 2 Details				
Strategy 2: Ensure effective reading instruction program to improve the achievement of all students -targeting lowest	Formative			Summative
achieving students- by coordinating and utilizing classroom teachers, reading interventionists, teacher facilitator, special needs staff, and librarian.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION - staffing data, schedules 2. IMPACT - Student progress reports				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent; Campus Administrator				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	•
Strategy 3: Review BOY, MOY, and EOY mCLASS data to monitor student growth.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION - test data, scheduled data analysis meetings 2. IMPACT - Student growth throughout the year	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Curriculum Director; Campus Administrator				

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Provide support for teachers integrating new technology tools into instruction (including interactive		Formative		Summative
whiteboards, Chromebooks, and web-based instructional tools) through individual, campus-wide, and district-wide professional development opportunities, along with a web-based collection of resources.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION - Session sign-in sheets, handouts 2. IMPACT - Increased use of technology in instruction and literacy stations				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Technology Director,				
Instructional Technology Facilitator,				
Campus Administrator				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 5: Utilize the RTI committee to evaluate mCLASS assessment to determine appropriate interventions or a referral		Formative		Summative
for a Special Education Dyslexia Evaluation.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION - RTI committee, mClass data	I INDV	Jan	Mar	June
2. IMPACT - Gains in reading skills for identified students				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal,				
Assistant Principal, RTI Committee				
Strategy 6 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 6: Differentiation and accelerated instruction will be provided by:		Formative		Summative
~Ensuring all teachers are trained for teaching Gifted/Talented ~Encouraging all teachers to attain ESL certification	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
~Encodinging an teachers to attain ESL certification  ~Providing resources and training in differentiated instruction				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION - documentation of teacher training/ certification and				
available resources 2. IMPACT - Students will receive needed services and instruction according to individual needs, leading to increased				
academic success				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrator				
Strategy 7 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 7: Provide Bilingual Teachers/Classrooms for Emergent Bilingual students.	Formative Summ			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION-identified Emergent Bilingual students placed in bilingual kinder and 1st grade classrooms	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
IMPACT- effective instruction for Emergent Bilingual learners				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Superintendent, Campus Administrator				

Strategy 8 Details		Reviews			
<b>Strategy 8:</b> Provide a Pre-K program to improve cognitive, health, and social emotional outcomes for 4 year old children.		Formative		Summative	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> IMPLEMENTATION- class enrollment, guideline check list IMPACT- Readiness skills of preschool students, early intervention	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: District Administration, Campus Administrator					
Strategy 9 Details		Rev	views	<u>'</u>	
Strategy 9: Provide tutorials during school year and summer school for students who are failing, at risk of failing, need	Formative			Summative	
remediation, and not meeting growth expectations for formative assessments.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> IMPLEMENTATION: Attendance sheets for tutorials and summer school, student academic data on formative and summative assessments					
IMPACT: Students will receive effective and timely assistance to meet individual needs, increasing student academic					
success.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrator, Teachers					
Stari Responsible for Womening. Campus Administrator, Teachers					
Strategy 10 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 10: Teachers of "transition grade" (Kindergarten, 1st, and 2nd) will provide information to teachers at the		Formative		Summative	
receiving schools, to ensure appropriate educational services and placement.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> IMPLEMENTATION: Student data sheets IMPACT: Students receive needed services from the first day in the new grade					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrator, Teachers					
Strategy 11 Details		Rev	/iews		
Strategy 11: Students in "transition grades" (K and 2nd) will participate in campus visit and orientation for the new grade		Formative		Summative	
to become familiar with the teachers and logistics of the new school and schedule.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Scheduled campus visits IMPACT: Students will have information and confidence to be successful from the first day in the new grade.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrator					
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	 ntimue			

Goal 1: Maintain a TEA District "A" rating through successful student performance on the state STAAR tests (Reading/ELAR, Mathematics, Science, and Social Studies)

**Performance Objective 2:** At least 30% of our Early Education SPED students will be served in the general education setting.

Evaluation Data Sources: ARD meeting documentation

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Special Education staff and teachers will keep data to document the progress of SPED students success in the	Formative			Summative
general education setting.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION: Review students IEP, staffings, strategic scheduling of support staff 2. IMPACT: SPED students are receiving same instruction as their peers.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Special Education Director, SpEd Staff/Teachers, Campus Administrator  Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 1: Maintain a TEA District "A" rating through successful student performance on the state STAAR tests (Reading/ELAR, Mathematics, Science, and Social Studies)

Performance Objective 3: Increase ESL passing rate on formative assessments and English Language Proficiency Status percentage.

**Evaluation Data Sources: TELPAS** 

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Ensure each campus has Spanish-speaking personnel to work with students.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION: Staffing data, schedules 2. IMPACT - ELL student progress reports Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent; Campus Administrator	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	•
Strategy 2: Utilize Eduphoria and Frontline systems to house and track students' test scores (TELPAS, etc.) and	Formative			Summative
accommodations data, and to ensure teachers' access to it. Teachers update accommodations usage within the Eduphoria and Frontline system.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION: Student data is entered into the system and accessed / updated by teachers.  2. IMPACT: Student accommodations allow for student success in classwork and assessments.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ESL Coordinator				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 3: Bilingual Kindergarten and 1st grade classrooms to ensure students receive curriculum instruction in English		Formative		Summative
and Spanish.  Street cavits Expected Result/Impacts IMBLEMENTATION: Cartified kilingwal tooch are	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Certified bilingual teachers. IMPACT: Students will receive and understand curriculum in the language they understand. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrator, Bilingual Teachers, District ESL Coordinator				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		•

Goal 1: Maintain a TEA District "A" rating through successful student performance on the state STAAR tests (Reading/ELAR, Mathematics, Science, and Social Studies)

**Performance Objective 4:** Increase Reading/Literacy skills improving student progress/growth.

Evaluation Data Sources: Saxon Phonics, Heggerty-Phonemic Awareness, HMH curriculum, Reading Levels, Formative Assessments, Progress Reports and Report Cards

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Monitor formative assessments to ensure student growth/success.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION - documentation of student assessments and lesson plans 2. IMPACT - Students will receive quality assessments to target instruction needs, leading to increased academic success Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrator Teacher	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: Implement iStation Math computer program to provide math support to students.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION - Teachers will use this in their classrooms and in the computer lab for students  2. IMPACT - Students will receive needed services and instruction according to individual needs, leading to increased academic success  Program Reports  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Computer Lab Instructor  Teacher  Campus Administrator	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	•
Strategy 3: Disaggregation of student data and identifying student needs.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION - documentation of student data and teacher training/certification  2. IMPACT - Teacher will obtain student data that can address strengths and weakness which will result academic growth and success.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teacher Campus Administrator District Curriculum Director	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		<u> </u>

**Performance Objective 1:** Maintain that all core academic subject area classes are taught by highly qualified teachers.

Evaluation Data Sources: Personnel documentation

Teacher certificates

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews						
Strategy 1: Teachers in need of additional certification will receive information about TExES administration dates and	Formative			Summative			
registration requirements.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Communication and flyers regarding TEXES administration dates IMPACT: Teachers will have certifications for their position.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Superintendant; Campus Administrator	Nov	Jan	Mar	June			
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			Reviews			
Strategy 2: District administrative personnel will participate in job fairs to bring certified candidates to campus principals to		Formative		Summative			
consider for hire.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Documentation of job fair attendance and applications acquired IMPACT: Maintain highly qualified/certified teachers in teaching positions.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Superintendent							
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue					

**Performance Objective 2:** Continue to work on increasing teacher retention rate.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Exit interviews, HR data

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide on-going professional development in instructional technology and facilitate self-selected professional		Formative			
goals and provide training and support to campus teachers.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION - meeting agendas, training notes  2. IMPACT - improved teacher efficiency; increased confidence  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Technology Facilitator	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	•	
Strategy 2: Provide staff development for new teachers at the district level, with continuing support provided by mentor		Formative		Summative	
teachers at the campus level.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION - PD sign-in sheets, training handouts  2. IMPACT - increased retention rate among first year teachers	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Superintendent, Campus Administrator					
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	•	•	

**Performance Objective 3:** Provide research based professional development in the area of student assessment and targeted instruction.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Professional development agenda and teacher certificates

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Implement T-TESS program for evaluating teacher instruction.	Formative S			Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: T-TESS teacher self reports and evaluation Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrator, Teacher	Nov	Nov Jan Mar			
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue			

**Performance Objective 4:** Provide support to new teachers through teacher mentors.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Mentor Program monitoring

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide new teachers a teacher mentor to provided support at the campus level.		Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION: Teacher and teacher mentor meetings, provide resources 2. IMPACT: Increased retention rate among first year teachers Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Mentor Teacher Campus Administrators	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 2: Provide teachers needed professional development and resources.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION - PD sign-in sheets, training handouts 2. IMPACT - increased retention rate among first year teachers and knowledge of needed content area	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Curriculum Director Campus Administrator					
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	•	•	

Goal 3: All students will be educated in learning environments that are safe and conducive to learning.

**Performance Objective 1:** Practice procedures in place for emergencies to ensure staff and student safety.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Campus drill reports

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Monitor security of doors at all campuses, with public entry granted with authorization of front office staff and		Formative Nov Jan Mar		
security checks for public admittance into classrooms and student-occupied areas	Nov			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION: Locks and security cameras installed 2. IMPACT: Eliminate number of strangers in hallways				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Front Office Staff,				
Campus, Administrator,				
Police Chief				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: All teachers and staff will be trained in matters of student health and safety, including suicide prevention, sexual	Formative			Summative
abuse, sex trafficking, seizure awareness, concussions, and maltreatment of children, and bullying prevention. (all safety training required by TEA)	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION: Staff development certificates of completion from				
Region 10 online courses				
2. IMPACT: Immediate and appropriate intervention for students in crisis				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers/Staff				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Per the Texas Behavior Support Initiative (TBSI), the state-level training mandated by Senate Bill 1196 and the		Formative		Summative
Texas Administrative Code 89.1053, teachers will be trained in the use of restraints, time-out, and other behavior interventions and supports.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION: Staff development certificates of completion from				
Region 10 online courses				
2. IMPACT: Immediate and appropriate intervention for students in crisis				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	ntinue	I	_ <b>I</b>

Goal 3: All students will be educated in learning environments that are safe and conducive to learning.

**Performance Objective 2:** Implement drug and violence prevention programs.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** School activities (Red Ribbon Week)

Discipline Report School Police Report

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: The district's freedom from bullying policy and procedures are attached to this district improvement plan, per [	Formative			Summative
TEC 11.252].	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
All teachers will be trained on the topic of bullying, to identify students in crisis, and to provide appropriate intervention.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION: Staff development certificates of completion from Region 10 online courses  2. IMPACT: Immediate and appropriate intervention for students in crisis.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
trategy 2: All teachers will be trained on the topic of suicide prevention, to identify students in crisis, and to provide	Formative Sum			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION: Staff development certificates of completion from Region 10 online courses  2. IMPACT: Immediate and appropriate intervention for students in crisis.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators		Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: Administrators and counselors will be trained in conflict resolution and violence prevention, and will meet with		Formative		Summative
and provide de-escalation techniques to help students resolve conflicts in a non-violent manner.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION: Counselor logs, training logs each year for all district staff  2. IMPACT - reduced number of incidents  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators;  Counselors	Nov	Jan	Mar	June

Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: All campus counselors and administrators will be trained on harassment and dating violence each year.	Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION: Region 10 certificates or sign in logs from each campus 2. IMPACT: Appropriate response to incidents; reduced number of incidents Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators; Counselors	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 5: Counselors and campus administrator will meet with students assigned to ISS/AEP to help develop a plan to	Formative			Summative
decrease the problematic behavior.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Communication logs/documentation and parent contact documentation IMPACT: Reduce the number of students in ISS/AEP Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor; Campus Administrator				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Goal 4: Through enhanced dropout prevention efforts, all students will remain in school until they obtain a high school diploma.

**Performance Objective 1:** Monitor attendance and student performance.

Evaluation Data Sources: 2021-2022 Attendance Data

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide materials/resources to students/parents for absences.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION: Videos, web resources, and other materials provided for students 2. IMPACT: Decrease in number of students with incomplete work	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration; Technology Department; Teachers				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	·
Strategy 2: Utilize after school and Saturday/Monday school for student credit recovery:	Formative			Summative
~Saturday or Monday School		Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Students making up time missed IMPACT: Reduce the number of students with unexcused absences and incomplete work				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: Provide Homebound services for students that are in need-ensuring students maintain grades/credit for classes.		Formative		Summative
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> IMPLEMENTATION: Meetings, documentation, homebound program information provided	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
IMPACT: Insure students are getting services and credit for completion of grade.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor, Campus Administrator				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Goal 4: Through enhanced dropout prevention efforts, all students will remain in school until they obtain a high school diploma.

**Performance Objective 2:** Provide summer school for remediation.

Evaluation Data Sources: Summer school student attendance documentation and curriculum/lesson plans

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 1: Students that need summer school for remediation will increase/maintain academic skills for promotion to the	Formative			Summative
next grade level.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION - parent, teacher and administrator meeting minutes and student academic data				
2. IMPACT - increase academic skills and promotion to next grade level				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselors				
Campus Principal				
Teachers				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	<u> </u>
Strategy 2: Implement the District Truancy Plan		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION-	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
attendance records, truancy plan documentation IMPACT- increase attendance rate				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus and District Administrators				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Students at risk due to neglect, foster care or homelessness will receive counseling and assistance with school		Formative		Summative
means and school related fees-as needed.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION-PEIMS documentation (homelessness, At-Risk)				
Free and Reduced Meal applications and documentation				
IMPACT- increase attendance rate, student academic growth and school participation in activities.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus and District Administrators, Counselor				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 5: The district will increase the number of students graduating college, career, and/or military ready.

Performance Objective 1: 100% of families of LEP students will have communication provided in their home language.

Evaluation Data Sources: Documentation of home-school communication

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Ensure each campus has Spanish-speaking personnel to communicate with parents.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION - staffing 2. IMPACT - increased parent involvement of LEP students Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent; Campus Administrators	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Conduct meetings and provide information for parents of elementary Emergent Bilingual students, with native anguage support, to share information about the program and ways to promote English development at home.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION - meeting attendance and minutes/handouts 2. IMPACT - increased parent involvement of LEP students  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ESL Coordinator	Formative S			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Parents have the option to have school and district technology communication translated - school and district		Formative		Summative
website, Facebook and teacher/parent communication apps (SchoolStatus)	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION- continue to communicate through technology IMPACT- increased communication and knowledge with parents and school staff Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Technology Director, Teachers/Staff, Campus Administrator				

Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Conduct an annual Title 1 parent, family and community involvement meeting to discuss program policy,	Formative			Summative
sharing information and answering questions to ensure participation and knowledge of district and campus educational expectations.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION - Meeting sign in sheets, meeting handouts/parent information  2. IMPACT - collaboration among schools, parents, families, and community increasing student success Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrator				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	ıtinue		

Goal 5: The district will increase the number of students graduating college, career, and/or military ready.

**Performance Objective 2:** 100% of Title 1 students will have school-parent compacts. Identifying the schools responsibilities, the parents responsibilities and the students responsibilities.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Documentation of school-parent communication and meetings signed school-parent compacts.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Provide "The Parent Involvement Connection" information resource in both English and Spanish for parents of	Formative			Summative
elementary students, grades Pre-K-5.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION - newsletters sent home 2. IMPACT - parents knowledgeable of strategies / activities to be used at home to impact academic success Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrator	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	•
Strategy 2: Conduct an annual Title 1 parent, family and community involvement meeting to discuss program policy,	Formative			Summative
sharing information and answering questions to ensure participation and knowledge of district and campus educational expectations.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION - Meeting sign in sheets, meeting handouts/parent information  2. IMPACT - collaboration among schools, parents, families, and community increasing student success Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrator				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	•
Strategy 3: Provide professional development to teachers and support staff on positive parent communication and		Formative		Summative
conducting a effective parent/teacher conference.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION - Staff development sign in sheets and training agenda  2. IMPACT - increased positive interaction among staff and parents -effective interaction with staff and parents Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers/Staff	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	•	

Goal 6: Tatum Elementary will meet or exceed the recommended attendance rate of 96%.

Performance Objective 1: Teachers, Office Staff, and Administrators will continue to contact absent student parents to document reason for absence.

Evaluation Data Sources: attendance reports

documentation of parent contact

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
<b>Strategy 1:</b> Teachers will share information from parents regarding their child's absence with the office staff so it can be documented. Office staff will contact parents daily on absences and notify teachers and administrator, as well as document on attendance spreadsheet.		Formative		
		Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION: Phone calls home 2. IMPACT: Attendance rate will increase				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: -Teachers -Office Staff				
-Administrator				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Goal 7: Tatum Elementary will emphasize parental and community involvement, ensuring that parents will be full partners with educators in the education of their children.

Performance Objective 1: Teachers will communicate with parents about students academic growth.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** parent conferences (phone, in person, or zoom) academic assessment information sent home Grade level newsletters with weekly academic goals

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will have parent conferences to meet with parents and go over their child's progress.	Formative Summ			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION: Teachers  2. IMPACT: Powerts are informed of their shild's progress recording strengths and weeknesses	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
2. IMPACT: Parents are informed of their child's progress regarding strengths and weaknesses  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: -Teachers				
-Administrator				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	•

# **State Compensatory**

## **Budget for Tatum Elementary School**

**Total SCE Funds:** \$116,931.61 **Total FTEs Funded by SCE:** 3.579

**Brief Description of SCE Services and/or Programs** 

## **Personnel for Tatum Elementary School**

<u>Name</u>	Position	<u>FTE</u>
2nd Grade Teacher	Teacher	0.07
Classroom Aide	Aide	1
Classroom Aide	Aide	1
Classroom Aide	Aide	1
PK Teacher	Teacher	0.509

# **Title I Personnel**

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>FTE</u>
PK Aide	Teacher's Aide	24	1
PK Aide	Teacher's Aide	24	1
Reading Interventionist	Teacher	24	1

# **2021-22 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR)**

**District Name: FARMERSVILLE ISD** 

**Campus Name: TATUM EL** 

**Campus Number: 043904101** 

2022 Accountability Rating: C



# Texas Education Agency 2021-22 STAAR Performance (TAPR) TATUM EL (043904101) - FARMERSVILLE ISD - COLLIN COUNTY

This campus is not rated on STAAR Performance (TAPR).

# Texas Education Agency 2021-22 Progress (TAPR) TATUM EL (043904101) - FARMERSVILLE ISD - COLLIN COUNTY

This campus is not rated on Progress (TAPR).

#### **Texas Education Agency**

#### 2021-22 Bilingual Education/English as a Second Language (Current EB Students/EL) (TAPR) TATUM EL (043904101) - FARMERSVILLE ISD - COLLIN COUNTY

This campus is not rated on Bilingual Education/English as a Second Language (Current EB Students/EL) (TAPR).

This campus is not rated on STAAR Participation (TAPR).

#### Texas Education Agency 2021-22 Attendance, Graduation, and Dropout Rates (TAPR) TATUM EL (043904101) - FARMERSVILLE ISD - COLLIN COUNTY

										Two or			
	State	District	Campus	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	More	Special Ed	Econ Disadv	EB/EL
Attendance Rate						1							
2020-21	95.0%	97.1%	99.0%	*	99.0%	98.9%	_	*	_	*	98.5%	99.0%	99.6%
2019-20	98.3%	98.9%	98.6%	*	99.0%	98.4%	_	*	_	*	98.1%	98.2%	99.4%
Chronic Absenteeism													
2020-21	15.0%	6.7%	0.8%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	-	*	_	*	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
2019-20	6.7%	4.7%	5.1%	0.0%	3.9%	6.8%	-	*	-	*	5.0%	8.6%	0.0%
Annual Dropout Rate (C	Gr 7-8)												
2020-21	0.9%	0.0%	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	-
2019-20	0.5%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Annual Dropout Rate (C	Gr 9-12)	)											
2020-21	2.4%	0.8%	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-
2019-20	1.6%	0.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-
4-Year Longitudinal Ra	te (Gr 9	)-12)											
Class of 2021													
Graduated	90.0%	96.1%	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	-
Received TxCHSE	0.3%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-
Continued HS	3.9%	1.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dropped Out	5.8%	2.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduates and TxCHSE	90.3%	96.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers	94.2%	97.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Class of 2020													
Graduated	90.3%	96.6%	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
Received TxCHSE	0.4%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Continued HS	3.9%	3.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dropped Out	5.4%	0.0%	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
Graduates and TxCHSE	90.7%	96.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers	94.6%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5-Year Extended Longit	tudinal	Rate (G	r 9-12)										
Class of 2020													
Graduated	92.2%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Received TxCHSE	0.5%	0.0%	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
Continued HS	1.1%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dropped Out	6.2%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduates and TxCHSE	92.7%	100.0%	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_

#### Texas Education Agency 2021-22 Attendance, Graduation, and Dropout Rates (TAPR) TATUM EL (043904101) - FARMERSVILLE ISD - COLLIN COUNTY

										Two			
										or		_	
	State	District	Campus	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander		Special Ed	<b>Econ</b> Disadv	EB/EL
Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers		100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Class of 2019													
Graduated	92.0%	96.0%	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-
Received TxCHSE	0.5%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Continued HS	1.3%	2.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dropped Out	6.1%	1.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduates and TxCHSE	92.6%	96.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers	93.9%	98.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6-Year Extended Longi	tudinal	Rate (G	r 9-12)										
Class of 2019													
Graduated	92.6%	98.4%	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-
Received TxCHSE	0.6%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Continued HS	0.6%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dropped Out	6.2%	1.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduates and TxCHSE	93.2%	98.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers	93.8%	98.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Class of 2018													
Graduated	92.6%	96.6%	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-
Received TxCHSE	0.7%	0.8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Continued HS	0.6%	0.8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dropped Out	6.1%	1.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduates and TxCHSE	93.3%	97.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers	93.9%	98.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4-Year Federal Graduat	tion Ra	te Witho	ut Exclus	ions (Gr 9	-12)								
Class of 2021	90.0%		-	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-
Class of 2020	90.3%	96.6%	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_
RHSP/DAP Graduates (	(Longit	udinal R	ate)										
Class of 2021	87.5%		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Class of 2020	83.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FHSP-E Graduates (Lo	ngitudi	nal Rate	)										
Class of 2021	3.8%			-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Class of 2020	4.3%	5.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FHSP-DLA Graduates (	Longit	udinal R	ate)										

## Texas Education Agency 2021-22 Attendance, Graduation, and Dropout Rates (TAPR) TATUM EL (043904101) - FARMERSVILLE ISD - COLLIN COUNTY

	State	District	Campus	African American	<b>L</b> ienanie	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander		Special Ed	Econ Disady	ER/EI
Class of 2021	81.9%		Campus	American	пэратіс	vvilice	IIIuiaii	Asian	isianaei	Races	Lu	Disauv	LD/LL
			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Class of 2020	83.5%		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHSP/DAP/FHSP-E/FHS	SP-DLA	Gradua	ites (Long	gitudinal R	ate)								
Class of 2021	85.7%	83.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Class of 2020	87.8%	93.9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHSP/DAP Graduates (	(Annua	l Rate)											
2020-21	43.8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
2019-20	38.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
FHSP-E Graduates (An	nual Ra	ite)											
2020-21	3.8%	9.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2019-20	4.4%	5.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FHSP-DLA Graduates (	Annual	Rate)											
2020-21	80.4%	71.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
2019-20	81.8%	87.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHSP/DAP/FHSP-E/FHS	SP-DLA	Gradua	ites (Ann	ual Rate)									
2020-21	84.1%	80.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
2019-20	85.8%	92.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

# Texas Education Agency 2021-22 Graduation Profile (TAPR) TATUM EL (043904101) - FARMERSVILLE ISD - COLLIN COUNTY

	Campus Count	Campus Percent		State Count
Graduates (2020-21 Annual Gradu	iates)			
Total Graduates	-	-	129	358,842
By Ethnicity:				
African American	-	-	3	44,018
Hispanic	-	-	47	183,306
White	-	-	72	103,898
American Indian	-	-	0	1,195
Asian	-	-	3	18,030
Pacific Islander	-	-	0	553
Two or More Races	-	-	4	7,842
By Graduation Type:				
Minimum H.S. Program	-	-	0	934
Recommended H.S. Program/Distinguished Achievement Program	-	-	0	729
Foundation H.S. Program (No Endorsement)	-	-	25	56,281
Foundation H.S. Program (Endorsement)	-	-	12	13,582
Foundation H.S. Program (DLA)	-	-	92	287,316
Special Education Graduates	-	-	20	31,028
Economically Disadvantaged Graduates	-	-	52	184,225
Emergent Bilingual (EB)/English Learner (EL) Graduates	-	-	1	32,809
At-Risk Graduates	-	-	19	155,884
CTE Completers	-	-	6	99,076

#### Texas Education Agency 2021-22 College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR) (TAPR) TATUM EL (043904101) - FARMERSVILLE ISD - COLLIN COUNTY

There is no data for this campus.

#### Texas Education Agency 2021-22 CCMR-Related Indicators (TAPR) TATUM EL (043904101) - FARMERSVILLE ISD - COLLIN COUNTY

There is no data for this campus.

## Texas Education Agency 2021-22 Other Postsecondary Indicators (TAPR) TATUM EL (043904101) - FARMERSVILLE ISD - COLLIN COUNTY

There is no data for this campus.

		Mem	bership			Enro	llment	
	Cai	npus			Car	npus		
Student Information		Percent	District	State		Percent	District	State
Total Students	364	100.0%	2,018	5,402,928	371	100.0%	2,025	5,427,370
Students by Grade:								
Early Childhood Education	5	1.4%	0.2%	0.3%	11	3.0%	0.5%	0.4%
Pre-Kindergarten	74	20.3%	3.7%	4.1%	74	19.9%	3.7%	4.1%
Pre-Kindergarten: 3-year Old	21	5.8%	1.0%	0.6%	21	5.7%	1.0%	0.6%
Pre-Kindergarten: 4-year Old	53	14.6%	2.6%	3.5%	53	14.3%	2.6%	3.5%
Kindergarten	135	37.1%	6.7%	6.8%	136	36.7%	6.7%	6.8%
Grade 1	150	41.2%	7.4%	7.1%	150	40.4%	7.4%	7.1%
Grade 2	0	0.0%	6.3%	7.1%	0	0.0%	6.3%	7.1%
Grade 3	0	0.0%	7.4%	7.1%	0	0.0%	7.4%	7.1%
Grade 4	0	0.0%	6.9%	7.1%	0	0.0%	6.9%	7.1%
Grade 5	0	0.0%	6.7%	7.2%	0	0.0%	6.7%	7.2%
Grade 6	0	0.0%	8.4%	7.4%	0	0.0%	8.3%	7.4%
Grade 7	0	0.0%	7.2%	7.7%	0	0.0%	7.2%	7.7%
Grade 8	0	0.0%	8.0%	7.9%	0	0.0%	8.0%	7.8%
Grade 9	0	0.0%	8.7%	8.8%	0	0.0%	8.6%	8.8%
Grade 10	0	0.0%	8.2%	7.6%	0	0.0%	8.2%	7.5%
Grade 11	0	0.0%	7.1%	7.2%	0	0.0%	7.1%	7.2%
Grade 12	0	0.0%	7.0%	6.7%	0	0.0%	7.0%	6.7%
Ethnic Distribution:								
African American	19	5.2%	4.5%	12.8%	19	5.1%	4.5%	12.8%
Hispanic	163	44.8%	42.6%	52.8%	163	43.9%	42.4%	52.7%
White	164	45.1%	48.3%	26.3%	171	46.1%	48.5%	26.3%
American Indian	2	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%	2	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%
Asian	1	0.3%	0.8%	4.8%	1	0.3%	0.8%	4.8%
Pacific Islander	1	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%	1	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%
Two or More Races	14	3.8%	3.5%	2.9%	14	3.8%	3.5%	2.9%
Sex:								
Female	176	48.4%	50.4%	48.9%	178	48.0%	50.4%	48.8%
Male	188	51.6%	49.6%	51.1%	193	52.0%	49.6%	51.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	243	66.8%	53.4%	60.7%	243	65.5%	53.2%	60.6%
Non-Educationally Disadvantaged	121	33.2%	46.6%	39.3%	128	34.5%	46.8%	39.4%
Section 504 Students	4	1.1%	8.6%	7.4%	4	1.1%	8.5%	7.4%
EB Students/EL	61	16.8%	14.7%	21.7%	61	16.4%	14.7%	21.7%

		Mem	bership			Enro	llment	
	Can	npus			Car	npus		
Student Information	Count	Percent	District	State	Count	Percent	District	State
Students w/ Disciplinary Placements (2020-21)	0	0.0%	0.7%	0.6%				
Students w/ Dyslexia	2	0.5%	6.9%	5.0%	2	0.5%	6.9%	5.0%
Foster Care	7	1.9%	0.6%	0.3%	7	1.9%	0.6%	0.3%
Homeless	11	3.0%	0.7%	1.1%	11	3.0%	0.7%	1.1%
Immigrant	2	0.5%	0.7%	2.0%	2	0.5%	0.7%	2.0%
Migrant	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Title I	364	100.0%	45.3%	64.3%	371	100.0%	45.5%	64.3%
Military Connected	5	1.4%	0.5%	3.3%	5	1.3%	0.5%	3.3%
At-Risk	85	23.4%	23.2%	53.5%	85	22.9%	23.1%	53.5%
Students by Instructional Program:								
Bilingual/ESL Education	46	12.6%	14.0%	21.9%	46	12.4%	13.9%	21.8%
Career and Technical Education	0	0.0%	41.4%	25.8%				
Career and Technical Education (9-12 grades only)	0	0.0%	85.8%	71.0%				
Gifted and Talented Education	2	0.5%	4.9%	8.0%	2	0.5%	4.9%	8.0%
Special Education	56	15.4%	13.5%	11.6%	63	17.0%	13.8%	11.7%
Students with Disabilities by Type of Primary Disability	<b>/</b> :							
Total Students with Disabilities	56							
By Type of Primary Disability Students with Intellectual Disabilities	6	10.7%	48.5%	43.0%				
Students with Physical Disabilities	35	62.5%	24.3%	20.8%				
Students with Autism	7	12.5%	11.0%	14.7%				
Students with Behavioral Disabilities	*	*	14.3%	20.0%				
Students with Non-Categorical Early Childhood	**	**	1.8%	1.5%				
Mobility (2020-21):								
Total Mobile Students	17	13.2%	12.3%	13.6%				
By Ethnicity: African American	2	1.6%	0.9%	2.5%				
Hispanic	8	6.2%	4.7%	6.6%				
White	7	5.4%	6.2%	3.5%				
American Indian	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%				
Asian	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%				
Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%				
Two or More Races	0	0.0%	0.5%	0.5%				
Count and Percent of Special Ed Students who are Mobile	2	7.1%	20.0%	15.7%				
Count and Percent of EB Students/EL who are Mobile	4	16.0%	8.7%	12.1%				
Count and Percent of Econ Dis Students who are Mobile	8	11.6%	13.7%	15.0%				

		Mem	bership		Enrollment			
	Car	npus			Car	npus		
Student Information	Count	Percent	District	State	Count	Percent	District	State
Student Attrition (2020-21):								
Total Student Attrition	23	11.4%	13.0%	18.9%				

	Non-Special Education Rates			Special Education Rates				
Student Information	Campus	District	State	Campus	District	State		
Retention Rates by Grade:								
Kindergarten	0.9%	0.9%	1.9%	9.1%	9.1%	5.2%		
Grade 1	3.3%	3.3%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%		
Grade 2	-	2.7%	1.7%	-	0.0%	2.2%		
Grade 3	-	0.0%	1.0%	-	0.0%	1.0%		
Grade 4	-	1.0%	0.7%	-	0.0%	0.7%		
Grade 5	-	0.8%	0.5%	-	0.0%	0.7%		
Grade 6	-	0.8%	0.6%	-	0.0%	0.6%		
Grade 7	-	0.0%	0.7%	-	0.0%	0.7%		
Grade 8	-	0.0%	0.6%	-	0.0%	0.8%		
Grade 9	-	0.7%	10.5%	-	14.3%	14.1%		

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject (Derived from teacher responsibility records):

Class Size Information	Campus	District	State
Elementary:			
Kindergarten	22.4	22.4	18.7
Grade 1	22.1	22.1	18.7
Grade 2	-	18.6	18.6
Grade 3	-	21.1	18.7
Grade 4	-	20.9	18.8
Grade 5	-	18.7	20.2
Grade 6	-	23.5	19.2
Secondary:			
English/Language Arts	-	18.0	16.3
Foreign Languages	-	19.8	18.4
Mathematics	-	19.6	17.5

Class Size Information	Campus	District	State
Science	-	20.4	18.5
Social Studies	-	20.9	19.1

	Campus	5		
Staff Information	Count/Average	Percent	District	State
Total Staff	38.3	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Professional Staff:	28.1	73.5%	62.3%	64.1%
Teachers	19.9	52.0%	48.6%	49.3%
Professional Support	7.3	19.0%	8.2%	10.7%
Campus Administration (School Leadership)	1.0	2.6%	3.2%	2.9%
Educational Aides:	10.1	26.5%	11.9%	11.1%
Librarians and Counselors (Headcount):				
Full-time Librarians	0.0	n/a	1.0	4,194.0
Part-time Librarians	0.0	n/a	0.0	607.0
Full-time Counselors	0.0	n/a	2.0	13,550.0
Part-time Counselors	1.0	n/a	2.0	1,176.0
Total Minority Staff:	8.3	21.8%	20.9%	52.1%
Teachers by Ethnicity:				
African American	0.0	0.0%	1.6%	11.2%
Hispanic	1.9	9.3%	4.5%	28.9%
White	18.0	90.7%	91.7%	56.4%
American Indian	0.0	0.0%	1.5%	0.3%
Asian	0.0	0.0%	0.7%	1.9%
Pacific Islander	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Two or More Races	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%
Teachers by Sex:				
Males	0.0	0.0%	26.5%	24.1%
Females	19.9	100.0%	73.5%	75.9%
Teachers by Highest Degree Held:				
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%
Bachelors	16.1	81.0%	76.7%	72.6%
Masters	2.9	14.4%	22.6%	25.2%
Doctorate	0.9	4.7%	0.7%	0.8%
Teachers by Years of Experience:				
Beginning Teachers	0.0	0.0%	1.5%	7.9%
1-5 Years Experience	7.4	37.0%	19.6%	26.7%
6-10 Years Experience	6.8	34.2%	26.4%	20.6%
11-20 Years Experience	4.7	23.8%	35.7%	28.6%
21-30 Years Experience	1.0	5.1%	15.2%	13.2%

	Campus	Campus		
Staff Information	Count/Average	Percent	District	State
Over 30 Years Experience	0.0	0.0%	1.5%	2.9%
Number of Students per Teacher	18.3	n/a	16.3	14.6

Staff Information	Campus	District	State
Experience of Campus Leadership:			
Average Years Experience of Principals	1.0	8.3	6.3
Average Years Experience of Principals with District	1.0	8.3	5.4
Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals	0.0	4.0	5.5
Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals with District	0.0	4.0	4.8
Average Years Experience of Teachers:	9.4	12.4	11.1
Average Years Experience of Teachers with District:	5.5	5.4	7.2
Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience (regular d	uties only):		
Beginning Teachers	-	\$53,114	\$51,054
1-5 Years Experience	\$55,356	\$55,595	\$54,577
6-10 Years Experience	\$60,361	\$60,025	\$57,746
11-20 Years Experience	\$66,158	\$66,643	\$61,377
21-30 Years Experience	\$73,205	\$74,768	\$65,949
Over 30 Years Experience	-	\$75,552	\$71,111
Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only):			
Teachers	\$60,540	\$63,893	\$58,887
Professional Support	\$74,007	\$77,221	\$69,505
Campus Administration (School Leadership)	\$83,000	\$87,400	\$84,990
Instructional Staff Percent:	n/a	62.2%	64.9%
Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above):	0.0	0.0	2,113.6

	Campus					
Program Information	Count	Percent	District	State		
Teachers by Program (population served):						
Bilingual/ESL Education	0.0	0.0%	0.9%	6.2%		
Career and Technical Education	0.0	0.0%	8.6%	5.2%		
Compensatory Education	4.7	23.8%	7.7%	3.0%		
Gifted and Talented Education	0.2	0.9%	0.7%	1.7%		
Regular Education	12.1	61.0%	66.1%	70.8%		

	Campus			
Program Information	Count	Percent	District	State
Special Education	2.9	14.4%	10.7%	9.6%
Other	0.0	0.0%	5.3%	3.5%

- Indicates there is no data for the item.
- \* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers.
- \*\* When only one student disability or assessment group is masked, then the second smallest student disability or assessment group is masked regardless of size.

  n/a Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.
- ? Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable or were reported outside a reasonable range.

**Link to: PEIMS Financial Standard Reports 2020-21 Financial Actual Report** 

(To open link in a new window, press the "Ctrl" key and click on the link.)