Farmersville Independent School District Farmersville Intermediate 2022-2023 Campus Improvement Plan



Mission Statement

Farmersville Intermediate is committed to creating an engaging, high quality learning environment where students, teachers, and administrators are motivated to strive for excellence in all aspects of the curriculum.

Vision

Our vision for our campus is to educate every student to set purposeful goals that challenge them to grow as lifelong learners and equip them with 21st Century skills that will ensure their ability to be productive citizens in our community.

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	4
School Processes & Programs	6
Perceptions	7
Priority Problem Statements	8
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	10
Goals	12
Goal 1: Maintain a TEA District "A" rating through successful student performance on the state STAAR tests (Reading/ELA, Mathematics, Science, Social Studies)	13
Goal 2: Qualified and highly effective personnel will be recruited, developed, and retained.	18
Goal 3: All students will be educated in learning environments that are safe, and conducive to learning.	20
Goal 4: Through enhanced dropout prevention efforts, all students will remain in school until they obtain a high school diploma.	24
Goal 5: The district will increase the number of students graduating college, career, and/or military ready.	25
Goal 6: The district will meet or exceed the recommended attendance rate of 96%.	26
Goal 7: The District will emphasize parental and community involvement, ensuring that parents will be full partners with educators in the education of their children.	27
State Compensatory	31
Budget for Farmersville Intermediate	32
Personnel for Farmersville Intermediate	32
Title I Personnel	32
2022-2023 Campus Improvement Team	33

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Farmersville Intermediate School consists of 594 students 3rd-6th Grade.

The staff at Farmersville Intermediate School includes 50 teachers, 9 paraprofessionals, 5 professional support members, and 2 administrators. The student population as of Fall 2022 consisted of 47.47% White, 5.56% African American, 42.76% Hispanic, .51% Asian, 3.70% Two or more races. Additionally, the campus serves 61.28% economically disadvantaged students, 19.53% special education students, 13.3% Dyslexia students and 19.36% Emergent Bilingual students. Attendance rates in 2021-2022 include 94.2% for the entire campus. Subpopulation attendance rates stand at 93.63% African American, 94.33% Hispanic, 94.17% White, and 94.1% Economically Disadvantaged students for the 2021-2022 school year.

Demographics Strengths

- 98% Highly Qualified Teachers
- 8% Growth in overal population from 2021-2022 school year to the 2022-2023 school year

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Attendance rates declined in the 2022 school year by over 3%. **Root Cause:** Continuing effects of the pandemic coupled with a lack of remote learning affected attendance rates.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): 95% of Teachers on campus are ESL certified. **Root Cause:** Teachers have not taken ESL certification tests, or have not passed their prior attempt.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Dyslexia Therapists schedules are completely full. Root Cause: Dyslexia population has grown by 5% within one year.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

On the 2022 STAAR, students performed as follows:

Reading

3rd Grade: Approaches Standard: 82%, Meets: 61%, Masters:, 39%

4th Grade: Approaches Standard: 93%, Meets: 62%. Masters: 30%

5th Grade: Approaches Standard: 79%, Meets: 52%, Masters: 34%.

6th Grade: Approaches Standard: 80%, Meets: 54%, Masters: 28%.

Math

3rd Grade: Approaches Standard: 70%, Meets: 38%, Masters: 12%

4th Grade: Approaches Standard: 66%, Meets: 37%. Masters: 15%

5th Grade: Approaches Standard: 71%, Meets: 34%, Masters: 9%

6th Grade: Approaches Standard: 58%, Meets: 15%, Masters: 2%.

Science

5th Grade: Approaches Standard: 78%, Meets: 43%. Masters: 17%

Analysis of the above scores by grade level and by each student group shows that almost all student groups have large gaps in mathematics rooted in the pandemic. Scores regressed in each grade level in math from 2019 levels. Gains were made in 3rd grade Reading, 4th grade Reading, and 4th grade Math, particularly within the Hispanic and low socioeconomic subpopulations.

Intervention strategies have been implemented to accelerate learning and close this gap, including tutoring before, during, and after school. Tutorials are implemented for all students to grow, including for those students who should master concepts, but whose grade reflect higher mastery of skills. Individual students will be targeted for intervention and acceleration based upon formative and summative data. Teachers also understand the purpose of targeted instruction and intervention is to close the performance gaps of all student groups with a special emphasis on the Hispanic and Special Education student groups.

Student Learning Strengths

Farmersville Intermediate has a population of hard working, high achieving students. The campus performs above standard state standard in most subjects in overall, socio-economic,

and Hispanic population groups. Overall passing percentages for 4th grade reading approaches and 5th grade reading masters scores were high water marks in comparison with region and state passing rates.

All targets for closing the gaps in reading were met by the students at Farmersville Intermediate.

School Progress was rated a B, as growth was considerably higher for many students particularly in 5th grade math for the 2021-2022 school year.

In addition to traditionally displaying excellence, the campus utilizes a system of interventions to help target student areas of weakness, and build success within the classroom.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Scores in math declined during the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause:** The COVID-19 pandemic erased learning gains and created learning gaps particularly in mathematics.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): The campus failed to meet targets in 12 areas in the closing the gaps domain. **Root Cause:** The COVID-19 pandemic erased learning gains and created learning gaps particularly in mathematics.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): TELPAS growth scores are at 16% on campus. Root Cause: EB population is growing on campus.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

The Curriculum and Instructional focus at Farmersville Intermediate School is guided by the TEKS, content area scope and sequences, along with the results of campus based assessments. The TEKS promote 21st century skills including critical thinking and problem solving; communication skills; creativity; collaboration; and information/media literacy skills. Each grading period, the teacher, along with an administrator analyze formative and summative assessments to map out specific skills and interventions needed for the upcoming grading period. During this time, teachers map out their plan for reaching all of their students to show growth through the disaggregation of data, and question-stem vocabulary.

All teaching staff in Farmersville ISD meet the federal and state highly qualified requirements, resulting in students being surrounded by staff that hold high expectations for learning. FIS has hired 9 new teachers for the 2022-23 school year, who were provided one additional day of staff development during the teacher training days to specifically target the needs of teachers new to the district. New teachers were also provided with a campus mentor to meet with on a regular basis and give feedback to.

It is important at Farmersville ISD to provide ongoing professional learning. Teachers are scheduled for multiple teacher planning and staff development days during the course of the year - most of them organized at the campus level to provide targeted staff development over issues facing the Intermediate School at that time.

Overall the campus organization is positive. Teachers feel that they have input in decision making, and feel that administration is supportive and willing to do whatever it takes to help them accomplish their goals. Tutoring time is a focus and individual subjects are given priority throughout the week for targeting instruction.

School Processes & Programs Strengths

Subject and grade level meetings among teachers in each grade level

RTI process and RTI meetings at least once per six weeks

The ability to interpret and use summative and formative assessments to drive instructional decisions

Technology utilized in the classroom to give real time results and speed up the intervention process

95% of teachers are highly qualified

Frequent assessments to monitor student progress in the classroom

High and low level tutorials designated throughout the day to stimulate growth in all students

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): 6 out of 8 tested subjects were below Region 10 average at masters level on STAAR. **Root Cause:** Tutorials need continuous refinement to show teachers are addressing critical student weaknesses.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): The campus does not have keyless access around the building near student play areas. **Root Cause:** 2 of the 3 areas that students utilize for outdoor activities are not in close contact to a door with a keyless entry.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

Parents voiced the concern of wanting more opportunities to volunteer on campus during the school day. Parents expressed that they feel they can contact administration with concerns, and that parents and teachers work together to make their students successful. Students expressed concern about the lack of respect shown by each other. Students expressed that teachers treat them fairly, and that there are clear rules and consequences for behavior. Students express that other students somewhat treat each other with respect.

Teachers believe that administrators back them up when they need it, and for the most part look forward to coming to work each day. School discipline procedures are clear to school staff members. Teachers have expressed the need to add in time for social-emotional learning within the classroom. Some teachers expressed that they feel somewhat satisfied with the security of the school.

Perceptions Strengths

Collaborative environment between staff and parents.

Parents comfortable contacting school staff.

Students receive additional attention when needed.

School is clean, orderly and rules are consistent for all classrooms.

Teachers have confidence in students and and care for them.

Parents wish there were more opportunities to volunteer at the school.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Parents are not aware of opportunities to volunteer on campus. Root Cause: There are a low percentage of parents joining campus PTO.

Problem Statement 2: Students struggle at times with peer-to-peer relationships. **Root Cause:** The campus is in need of a high-quality social-emotional learning curriculum for students.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: 95% of Teachers on campus are ESL certified.

Root Cause 1: Teachers have not taken ESL certification tests, or have not passed their prior attempt.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 2: Attendance rates declined in the 2022 school year by over 3%.

Root Cause 2: Continuing effects of the pandemic coupled with a lack of remote learning affected attendance rates.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 3: Scores in math declined during the 2022-2023 school year.

Root Cause 3: The COVID-19 pandemic erased learning gains and created learning gaps particularly in mathematics.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: 6 out of 8 tested subjects were below Region 10 average at masters level on STAAR.

Root Cause 4: Tutorials need continuous refinement to show teachers are addressing critical student weaknesses.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 5: The campus failed to meet targets in 12 areas in the closing the gaps domain.

Root Cause 5: The COVID-19 pandemic erased learning gains and created learning gaps particularly in mathematics.

Problem Statement 5 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 6: The campus does not have keyless access around the building near student play areas.

Root Cause 6: 2 of the 3 areas that students utilize for outdoor activities are not in close contact to a door with a keyless entry.

Problem Statement 6 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 7: Dyslexia Therapists schedules are completely full.

Root Cause 7: Dyslexia population has grown by 5% within one year.

Problem Statement 7 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 8: TELPAS growth scores are at 16% on campus.

Root Cause 8: EB population is growing on campus.

Problem Statement 8 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 9: Parents are not aware of opportunities to volunteer on campus.

Root Cause 9: There are a low percentage of parents joining campus PTO.

Problem Statement 9 Areas: Perceptions

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- State and federal planning requirements

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Accountability Distinction Designations

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- Student Success Initiative (SSI) data for Grades 5 and 8
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- Running Records results
- Observation Survey results

Student Data: Student Groups

· Dyslexia data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Completion rates and/or graduation rates data
- Annual dropout rate data
- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records
- Violence and/or violence prevention records
- Tobacco, alcohol, and other drug-use data

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Communications data
- Capacity and resources data
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data
- Study of best practices
- Action research results
- Other additional data

Goals

Goal 1: Maintain a TEA District "A" rating through successful student performance on the state STAAR tests (Reading/ELA, Mathematics, Science, Social Studies)

Performance Objective 1: Farmersville Intermediate School will reach 90% "Approaches," 60% "Meets, "and 30% "Masters," in Math, ELAR, Science, and Social Studies.

Evaluation Data Sources: 2023 TEA Accountability Summary.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Implement formative assessment plan for all campuses, to be analyzed at the campus and department level. A	Formative			Summative	
combination of tools will be used, including Google Classroom, and Eduphoria.	Nov Jan	Nov Jan Mar	Mar	June	
TEC 39.053(c)(1-3)					
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION: Test Data, Scheduled Data Analysis Meetings					
2. IMPACT: Formative Assessment Scores					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Superintendent; Campus Administrators					
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6					

Strategy 2 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 2: Provide support for teachers integrating new technology tools into instruction (including interactive		Formative		Summative
whiteboards, Chromebooks, and web-based instructional tools) through individual, campus- wide, and district-wide professional development opportunities, along with a web-based collection of resources.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION: Session sign-in sheets, handouts, Instructional Technology web page				
IMPACT:				
Increased use of technology in instruction				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Technology Director; Instructional Technology Facilitator; Campus Administrators				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Utilize a 504 committee, as well as Special Education staff on campus to evaluate testing and determine	Formative			Summative
appropriate services for students with Dyslexia.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: 504 committee records				
IMPACT: Gains in reading skills for identified students				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators; Dyslexia Staff				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: 6th grade teachers will provide information to teachers at the receiving schools, to ensure appropriate		Formative		Summative
educational services and placement. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION:	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Student data sheets and course assignment sheets.				
IMPACT:				
Students will receive needed services and instruction from the first day in 7th grade.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				

Strategy 5 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 5: Differentiation and acceleration of instruction will be provided by:		Formative		Summative	
~ Ensuring all teachers are trained for teaching Gifted / Talented ~ Encouraging all teachers to attain ESL certification ~ Ensure all teachers 3rd Grade teachers complete the Reading Academy by the end of the school year. ~Providing professional development on using data collected from assessment to create lessons that will lead to increased academic success. -Utilizing Adaptive software programs such as Istation, IXL and Education Galaxy to target student needs and build academic success.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
TEC 11.253 and TEC 29.081					
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Documentation of teacher training / certification, and available resources IMPACT: Students will receive needed services and instruction according to individual needs, leading to increased academic success Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators					
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6					
Strategy 6 Details		Rev	riews		
Strategy 6: Students in "transition grades" will participate in campus visits and orientation for the new grade, to become		Formative		Summative	
familiar with the teachers and logistics of the new school and schedule. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Scheduled campus visits and documentation.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
IMPACT: Students will have information and confidence needed to be successful from the first day in the new grade. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators					
Strategy 7 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 7: Provide before school, in school, and after school tutorials and summer school for students who are failing, at		Formative		Summative	
risk of failing, or not meeting growth expectations on formative assessments.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
HB5, TEC 28.0217 and TEC 29.081					
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Attendance sheets for tutorials and summer school. Data from formative and summative assessments. Data from IXL adaptive software program.					
IMPACT: Students will receive effective and timely assistance according to individual needs, leading to increased academic success.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers					

Strategy 8 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 8: Track student reading levels throughout year to show improvement in reading fluency.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Reading passages through Reading A-Z will be utilized to track student fluency each six weeks for improvement.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
IMPACT: Student reading levels increase, helping to increase reading comprehension. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators; Campus Teachers				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	ntinue	I.	1

Goal 1: Maintain a TEA District "A" rating through successful student performance on the state STAAR tests (Reading/ELA, Mathematics, Science, Social Studies)

Performance Objective 2: Farmersville Intermediate School will increase the English Language Proficiency Status score from 16% to 36%

Evaluation Data Sources: TELPAS

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews					
Strategy 1: Ensure the campus has Spanish-speaking personnel to work with students.		Formative		Summative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Staffing data, schedules IMPACT: ELL student progress reports and STAAR scores Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent; Campus Administrators	Nov	Jan	Mar	June			
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views				
Strategy 2: Utilize Frontline system to house and track students' test scores (TELPAS, STAAR, etc.) and accommodations		Formative					
data, and to ensure teachers' access to it. Teachers update accommodations usage within the Frontline system. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Student data is entered into the system, and accessed / updated by teachers IMPACT: Student accommodations allow for student success in classwork and assessments Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ESL Coordinator Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	Nov	Jan	Mar	June			
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views				
Strategy 3: Newcomer students will have Chromebook issued to them, with Google Translate and beginning English apps		Formative		Formative		Formative	Summative
such as Duolingo. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Chromebooks checked out to students IMPACT: NES students transitioning to English. NES student progress reports, TELPAS and STAAR scores. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ESL Teacher	Nov	Jan	Mar	June			
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views				
Strategy 4: EB students will utilize Summit K-12 for developing language skills and preparing for TELPAS.		Formative					
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Accounts set up and used IMPACT: NES students transitioning to English. NES student progress reports, TELPAS and STAAR scores. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ESL Teacher	Nov	Jan	Mar	June			

Strategy 5 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 5: Differentiation and acceleration of instruction will be provided by:		Formative		Summative
~ Ensuring all teachers are trained for teaching Gifted / Talented ~ Encouraging all teachers to attain ESL certification	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
~ Providing elementary campuses with resources and training in "Differentiating Instruction with Menus				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Documentation of teacher training / certification, and available resources IMPACT: Students will receive needed services and instruction according to individual needs, leading to increased academic success				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Goal 2: Qualified and highly effective personnel will be recruited, developed, and retained.

Performance Objective 1: Maintain that all core academic subject area classes are taught by highly qualified teachers on each campus.

Evaluation Data Sources: Personnel documentation

Teacher certificates

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Teachers in need of additional certification will receive information about TEXES administration dates and		Formative		Summative
registration requirements Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Communication and flyers regarding TEXES administration dates IMPACT: Teachers will have certifications required for their position Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Superintendent	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: District administrative personnel will participate in job fairs at ESC and local universities and hire only certified		Formative		Summative
teachers.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Documentation of job fair attendance and applications acquired IMPACT: Percent of certified teachers hired Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Superintendent				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Goal 2: Qualified and highly effective personnel will be recruited, developed, and retained.

Performance Objective 2: Continue to work on initiatives to increase teacher retention

Evaluation Data Sources: Exit interviews

HR data

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Provide staff development for new teachers at the campus level, with continuing support provided by mentor		Formative		Summative
teachers at the campus level. TEC 11.252(3)(F)	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: PD Sign-in sheets, training handouts IMPACT: Increased retention rate among first year teachers				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		1

Goal 3: All students will be educated in learning environments that are safe, and conducive to learning.

Performance Objective 1: Practice procedures in place for emergencies to ensure staff and student safety.

Evaluation Data Sources: Campus drill reports

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Monitor security of doors at all campuses, with public entry granted with authorization of front office staff - and		Formative		Summative	
security checks for public admittance into classrooms and student-occupied areas	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Locks and security cameras installed, and documentation					
2. IMPACT: Eliminate number of strangers in hallways					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Front Office Staff;					
Police Chief					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 2: All teachers will be trained in matters of student health and safety, including suicide prevention, sexual abuse,	Formative			Summative	
sex trafficking, and maltreatment of children, and bullying prevention.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
TEC 11.252(3)(B)(i) TEC 38.0041(a)					
TEC 11.252(c)(9)					
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Staff development certificates of completion from					
Region 10 online courses					
IMPACT: Immediate and appropriate intervention for students in crisis. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators					
Stan Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 3: Per the Texas Behavior Support Initiative (TBSI), the state-level training mandated by Senate Bill 1196 and the		Formative		Summative	
Texas Administrative Code AaAASS89.1053, teachers will be trained in the use of restraints, time-out, and other behavior interventions and supports.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
TEC 11.252(3)(B)(ii)					
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Staff development certificates of completion from					
Region 10 online courses					
IMPACT: Immediate and appropriate intervention for students in crisis.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators					

Strategy 4 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 4: Integrate Social-Emotional Learning within the school day to aid students in developing social skills, mutual		Formative		
respect, and kindness to all.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Teachers will work with students daily to help build a culture of respect and improve social skills. IMPACT: Reduction of bullying behavior between students.				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 3: All students will be educated in learning environments that are safe, and conducive to learning.

Performance Objective 2: Implement drug and violence prevention programs to help reduce incidences

Evaluation Data Sources: Discipline report

School Police report

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: The district's freedom from bullying policy and procedures are attached to the district improvement plan, per [Formative		Summative
TEC 11.252].	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
All teachers will be trained on the topic of bullying, to identify students in crisis, and to provide appropriate intervention. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Staff development certificates of completion from Region 10 online courses IMPACT: Immediate and appropriate intervention for students in crisis. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			•
Strategy 2: All teachers will be trained on the topic of suicide prevention, to identify students in crisis, and to provide	Formative			Summative
appropriate intervention. TEC 11.252(3)(B)(i)	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Staff development certificates of completion from Region 10 online courses IMPACT: Immediate and appropriate intervention for students in crisis Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Strategy 3 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 3: Administrators and counselors will be trained in conflict resolution and violence prevention, and will meet with		Formative		Summative
and provide de-escalation techniques to help students resolve conflicts in a non-violent manner.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
TEC 11.253(3)(B)(ii) Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Counselor logs, training logs each year for all district staff IMPACT: Reduced number of incidents Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators; Counselors				

Strategy 4 Details Reviews				
Strategy 4: District-wide discipline management system will be utilized, where administrators will log all discipline into		Formative		
Ascender system. Staff will implement the defined hierarchy of consequences for students. Counselors will meet with all students assigned to ISS/AEP to help them stop the problematic behavior without missing class time.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
TEX 11.252 (3)(E) TEC 37.083(a)				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Ascender discipline logs IMPACT: Reduced number of incidents on Ascender				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators;				
Counselor				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 5: All campus counselors and administrators will be trained on harassment and dating violence each year.		Formative		Summative
TEC 37.0831	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Region 10 certificates or sign in logs from each campus IMPACT: Appropriate response to incidents; reduced number of incidents				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators; Counselors				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	•

Goal 4: Through enhanced dropout prevention efforts, all students will remain in school until they obtain a high school diploma.

Performance Objective 1: Maintain an attendance rate over 96%.

Evaluation Data Sources: Attendance Data

PEIMS

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: District truancy plan will be followed for any students with three unexcused absences in a four-week period.	Formative			Summative
The plan includes working with families to lessen the number of absences and to ensure students make up time/instruction missed.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION - attendance records, truancy plan documentation 2. IMPACT - increased attendance rate				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Utilize the following programs for credit recovery:		Formative		Summative
~ Saturday school ~ Evening school	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
TEC 11.253(d)(10)				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Student participation in attendance recovery programs				
IMPACT: reduced number of students lacking the required days attended, and missing instruction.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Students at-risk due to neglect, foster care, or homelessness will receive counseling and assistance with meals	Formative S			Summative
and school-related fees, as needed. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. IMPLEMENTATION - PEIMS documentation for homeless,	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
food service records				
2. IMPACT - increased attendance rate and participation				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant				
Superintendent; Campus				
Administrators;				
Counselors				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Goal 5: The district will increase the number of students graduating college, career, and/or military ready.

Performance Objective 1: 60% of students will score at Meets Grade Level on STAAR, a key indicator in success on SAT/ACT in later grades.

Evaluation Data Sources: TAPR

SAT/ACT Results

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Implementation of student tutorials with a special focus of moving students from "approaches" to "meets," and		Formative		
"meets" to "masters." Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 1. ImplementationAssessment Scores. Tutorial Sessions, Campus Staff, Reading and Math Interventionists 2. ImpactIncrease on state assessment scores and improved growth percentages in testing subjects. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators; Classroom Teachers	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	•
Strategy 2: Increase Gifted/Talented minutes throughout the day.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: GT Minutes increased from 30 to 50 minutes per day. IMPACT: More time for students to be challenged with specially designed instruction to meet their needs. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators; GT Coordinator		Jan	Mar	June
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 6: The district will meet or exceed the recommended attendance rate of 96%.

Performance Objective 1: The campus will meet or exceed the recommended attendance rate of 96%.

Evaluation Data Sources: TAPR

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: District truancy plan will be followed for any students with three unexcused absences in a four-week period.		Formative		
The plan will work with families to lessen the number of absences and to ensure students make up time/instruction missed.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
TEC 11.253.(d)(10)(c)				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Attendance records, truancy plan documentation IMPACT: Increased attendance rate				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	1
Strategy 2: Students at-risk due to neglect, foster care, or homelessness will receive counseling and assistance with meals	Formative		Summative	
and school related fees, as needed.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: PEIMS documentation for homeless, food service records				
IMPACT: Increased attendance rate and participation				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Superintendent;				
Campus Administrators; Counselors				
Counsciols				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: Incentive program developed for students achieving perfect attendance, and classes with highest attendance.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: ImplementationPrizes for students achieving perfect attendance, Attendance Data	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
ImpactHigher Attendance Rates				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	I ntinue		-1

Goal 7: The District will emphasize parental and community involvement, ensuring that parents will be full partners with educators in the education of their children.

Performance Objective 1: 100% of families of LEP students will have communication provided in the home language.

Evaluation Data Sources: Documentation of home-school communication; website statistics

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Provide translation services on website.		Formative		Summative
TEC 11.253(d)(9)	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
ESSA Section 1112(b)(7)				
ESSA Section 1116(a)(2)(B)				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Website activated; site statistics IMPACT: Increased parent involvement of LEP students				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Technology Director				
Title I: 4.2				
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews		•
Strategy 2: Ensure each campus has Spanish-speaking personnel to communicate with parents.		Formative Summ		Summative
TEC 11.253(d)(9)	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
ESSA Section 1112(b)(7)				
ESSA Section 1116(a)(2)(B) Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Staffing IMPACT: Increased parent involvement of LEP students Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent; Campus Administrators				

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Conduct a meeting for parents of elementary ESL students, with native language support, to share information		Formative		
about the program and ways to promote English development at home.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
TEC 11.253(d)(9)				
ESSA Section 1112(b)(7)				
ESSA Section 1116(a)(2)(B)				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Meeting attendance and minutes/handouts IMPACT: Increased parent involvement of LEP students				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ESL Coordinators				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	l
Strategy 4: All school to home communication translated in non-English speakers home language.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: ImplementationStaff members for translation services, e-mail and text communication in parents' home language. ImpactIncreased parental involvement in school activities.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators; Classroom Teachers; Campus Staff				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	itinue	I	1

Goal 7: The District will emphasize parental and community involvement, ensuring that parents will be full partners with educators in the education of their children.

Performance Objective 2: 100% of Title 1 students will have home-school compacts and family communication.

Evaluation Data Sources: Documentation of home-school communication; signed home-school compacts

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Provide "The Parent Involvement Connection" in both English and Spanish for parents of elementary students,		Formative		
grades K-5.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
TEC 11.253(d)(9)				
ESSA Section 1112(b)(7)				
ESSA Section 1116(a)(2)(B) Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Newsletters sent home IMPACT: Parents knowledgeable of strategies / activities to be used at home to impact academic success Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals; Title 1 Teachers Title I: 4.1, 4.2				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Host Title 1 Parent Night meeting at K-5 campuses.		Formative		Summative
TEC 11.253(d)(9)	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
ESSA Section 1112(b)(7)				
ESSA Section 1116(a)(2)(B) Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Sign-in sheets, agendas IMPACT: Parents knowledgeable of Title 1 program and its purpose to accelerate learning Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Title 1 Coordinator; Title 1 Teachers; Principals				

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Provide professional development on positive parent communication and teacher-parent conferences		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IMPLEMENTATION: Sign-in sheets for staff development IMPACT: Teachers will feel confident in communicating with parents and in conducting one-on-one parent	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
conferences				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus principal;				
Teachers				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

State Compensatory

Budget for Farmersville Intermediate

Total SCE Funds: \$214,504.90 **Total FTEs Funded by SCE:** 3.934

Brief Description of SCE Services and/or Programs

Personnel for Farmersville Intermediate

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>FTE</u>
3rd Grade Teacher	Teacher	0.069
3rd Grade Teacher	Teacher	0.07
3rd Grade Teacher	Teacher	0.068
3rd Grade Teacher	Teacher	0.059
4th Grade Teacher	Teacher	0.06
4th Grade Teacher	Teacher	0.058
4th Grade Teacher	Teacher	0.059
5th Grade Teacher	Teacher	0.066
5th Grade Teacher	Teacher	0.06
5th Grade Teacher	Teacher	0.068
5th Grade Teacher	Teacher	0.07
5th Grade Teacher	Teacher	0.059
5th Grade Teacher	Teacher	0.069
6th Grade Teacher	Teacher	0.041
6th Grade Teacher	Teacher	0.058
Classroom Aide	Aide	1
Interventionist	Teacher	1
Interventionist	Teacher	1

Title I Personnel

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Reading Interventionist	Teacher	24	1

2022-2023 Campus Improvement Team

Committee Role	Name	Position
Classroom Teacher	Ashley Holland	Special Education Teacher
Administrator	Tad Myers	Principal
Administrator	Jamie Virgel	Assistant Principal
Non-classroom Professional	Laura Roberts	Counselor
Classroom Teacher	Ashley Phillips	Special Education Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Karen Lombard	ESL Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Britt Pollard	Science Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Lacy Phillips	4th Math Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Stephanie Scherer	3rd Reading Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Trista Williams	3rd Reading Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Kelsie Winniford	5th Science Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Jon Marie Russmann	Math Specialist
Classroom Teacher	Reagan VanAlstine	3rd Math Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Sarah Odom	ESL Coordinator
Classroom Teacher	Ashleigh Hoelscher	2nd Grade Teacher
Parent	Shannon Spaulding	Parent
Community Representative	Laura Lokey	Community Member

2021-22 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR)

District Name: FARMERSVILLE ISD

Campus Name: FARMERSVILLE INT

Campus Number: 043904102

2022 Accountability Rating: C



	School Year					-			Asian		Races		Ed	Continu- ously Enrolled	ously	Econ Disadv	EB/EL (Current & Monitored)
			S1	AAR Per	formance F	Rates by 1	Tested	Grade, Su	bject, a	and Perfo	rmance	e Level					
Grade 3 Reading																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2022	76%	83%	83%	56%	77%	89%	-	-	-	*	57%	*	82%	83%	81%	73%
	2021	67%	73%	73%	50%	72%	78%	*	*	-	*	47%	*	77%	66%	71%	74%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2022	51%	64%	64%	56%	58%	69%	-	-	-	*	43%	*	59%	75%	63%	50%
	2021	39%	45%	45%	17%	33%	57%	*	*	-	*	24%	*	46%	42%	34%	30%
At Masters Grade Level	2022	30%	40%	40%	11%	34%	46%	-	-	-	*	21%	*	36%	48%	38%	30%
	2021	19%	22%	22%	17%	13%	31%	*	*	_	*	6%	*	23%	21%	17%	11%
Grade 3 Mathematics																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2022	71%	74%	74%	44%	72%	79%	-	-	_	*	43%	*	72%	77%	71%	70%
	2021	62%	77%	77%	67%	70%	83%	*	*	_	*	47%	*	80%	70%	77%	68%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2022	43%	41%	41%	44%	32%	49%	-	-	-	*	36%	*	40%	44%	36%	27%
	2021	31%	35%	35%	33%	19%	46%	*	*	_	*	18%	*	32%	41%	25%	21%
At Masters Grade Level	2022	21%	15%	15%	11%	9%	20%	-	-	_	*	14%	*	12%	21%	13%	13%
	2021	14%	16%	16%	17%	4%	26%	*	*	-	*	0%	*	16%	16%	5%	4%
Grade 4 Reading																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2022	77%	93%	93%	86%	90%	98%	-	*	-	83%	65%	100%	93%	92%	90%	94%
	2021	63%	79%	79%	*	74%	85%	-	-	-	*	55%	67%	81%	75%	73%	76%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2022	54%	63%	63%	71%	55%	69%	-	*	-	83%	29%	50%	64%	63%	56%	56%
	2021	36%	46%	46%	*	42%	49%	-	-	_	*	23%	50%	43%	50%	42%	45%
At Masters Grade Level	2022	28%	30%	30%	14%	20%	42%	-	*	-	17%	6%	50%	32%	27%	14%	13%
	2021	17%	22%	22%	*	22%	20%	-	-	-	*	9%	17%	17%	30%	18%	17%
Grade 4 Mathematics																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2022	70%	66%	66%	43%	53%	81%	-	*	-	67%	29%	83%	68%	63%	58%	50%
	2021	59%	62%	62%	*	55%	67%	-	-	_	*	52%	33%	62%	62%	54%	43%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2022	43%	37%	37%	29%	27%	47%	-	*	-	50%	12%	50%	35%	40%	28%	22%
	2021	36%	36%	36%	*	37%	33%	-	-	-	*	26%	0%	35%	38%	31%	30%
At Masters Grade Level	2022	23%	15%	15%	14%	3%	24%	-	*	_	33%	0%	17%	16%	13%	4%	6%
	2021	21%	15%	15%	*	14%	13%	-	-	-	*	13%	0%	13%	18%	11%	13%
Grade 5 Reading																	

	School Year	State	District	Campus	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian		Pacific Islander		Special Ed (Current)	Ed	Continu- ously Enrolled	ously	Econ Disadv	EB/EL (Current & Monitored)
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2022	81%	80%	80%	63%	81%	82%	-	-	-	*	54%	*	85%	74%	77%	79%
	2021	73%	74%		*	67%		-	*	-	*	77 /0	*	7570	72%	65%	59%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2022	58% 46%	55% 44%			50% 33%	61% 55%	-	*	-	*	37 70	*	0170		49% 33%	53% 28%
At Masters Grade Level	2022	36% 30%	36% 29%	36%	38%	33% 14%	40%	-	-	-	*	29%	*	36%	34%	32% 18%	35% 13%
Grade 5 Mathematics	2021	3070	2370			1170	1270					1170		2370	2370	1070	1370
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2022	77%	77%	77%	63%	74%	81%	_	-	-	*	66%	*	82%	69%	76%	74%
	2021	70%	73%	73%	*	67%	78%	_	*	_	*	44%	*	74%	70%	63%	66%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2022	48%	46%	46%	38%	48%	45%	-	-	_	*	43%	*	51%	40%	46%	41%
	2021	44%	41%	41%	*	37%	44%	-	*	_	*	39%	*	40%	42%	32%	31%
At Masters Grade Level	2022	25%	17%	17%	25%	21%	15%	-	-	-	*	17%	*	15%	21%	15%	18%
	2021	25%	15%	15%	*	14%	16%	-	*	-	*	17%	*	14%	16%	13%	13%
Grade 5 Science																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2022	66%	79%	79%	63%	75%	85%	_	-	-	*	66%	*	77%	81%	76%	68%
	2021	62%	71%	71%	*	65%	77%	-	*	-	*	50%	*	75%	63%	58%	53%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2022	38%	47%	47%	38%	46%	47%	-	-	-	*	37%	*	43%	51%	40%	47%
	2021	31%	37%	37%	*	19%	53%	-	*	-	*	39%	*	36%	37%	20%	16%
At Masters Grade Level	2022	18%	20%	20%	38%	14%	24%	-	-	-	*	2070	*	25 /0	17%	16%	12%
	2021	13%	13%	13%	*	9%	17%	-	*	-	*	17%	*	13%	14%	8%	6%
All Grades All Subjects																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2022	74%	81%	79%	59%	75%	85%	-	*	-	74%	56%	85%	80%	77%	76%	73%
	2021	67%	78%	70%	57%	65%	76%	*	71%	-	79%	46%	67%	73%	66%	63%	60%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2022	48%	54%		45%	45%	56%	-	*	-	52%	36%	42%			45%	42%
	2021	41%	47%	38%	27%	30%	46%	*	29%	-	47%	27%	42%	38%	40%	29%	27%
At Masters Grade Level	2022	23%	24%	25%	21%	19%	30%	-	*	-	22%	18%	31%	24%	25%	19%	18%
	2021	18%	19%	18%	20%	12%	22%	*	14%	-	26%	9%	12%	17%	19%	12%	10%
All Grades ELA/Reading																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2022	75%	83%	85%	67%	83%	90%	-	*	-	83%	58%	92%	87%	83%	83%	82%
	2021	68%	78%	75%	58%	71%	81%	*	*	-	71%	49%	75%	78%	71%	69%	69%

	School Year			Campus	African American	Hispanic		American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Ed	Ed	Continu- ously Enrolled	ously	Econ Disadv	EB/EL (Current & Monitored)
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2022	53%		61%	54%	54%	67%	-	*	-	67%	38%	58%	61%	61%	56%	53%
	2021	45%	50%	45%		36%	54%	*	*	-	43%	25%	50%	45%	45%	36%	34%
At Masters Grade Level	2022	25%	29%	35%			43%	-	*	_	25%	21%	50%	35%	36%	29%	26%
	2021	18%	19%	25%	25%	16%	32%	*	*	-	29%	9%	25%	24%	26%	18%	14%
All Grades Mathematics																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2022	72%	77%	72%	50%	66%	80%	-	*	-	67%	50%	83%	74%	69%	68%	65%
	2021	66%	76%	71%	58%	64%	76%	*	*	-	86%	48%	58%	72%	67%	64%	59%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2022	42%	42%	42%	38%	36%	47%	-	*	-	33%	34%	33%	42%	41%	37%	30%
	2021	37%	39%	37%	33%	32%	41%	*	*	_	71%	28%	25%	36%	40%	29%	28%
At Masters Grade Level	2022	20%	16%	16%	17%	11%	19%	-	*	-	17%	13%	17%	14%	18%	11%	13%
	2021	18%	15%	15%	17%	11%	18%	*	*	_	43%	10%	8%	14%	17%	10%	10%
All Grades Science																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2022	76%	85%	79%	63%	75%	85%	-	-	-	*	66%	*	77%	81%	76%	68%
	2021	71%	81%	71%	*	65%	77%	-	*	-	*	50%	*	75%	63%	58%	53%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2022	47%	56%	47%	38%	46%	47%	-	-	-	*	37%	*	43%	51%	40%	47%
	2021	44%	53%	37%	*	19%	53%	-	*	-	*	39%	*	36%	37%	20%	16%
At Masters Grade Level	2022	21%	20%	20%	38%	14%	24%	-	-	-	*	26%	*	23%	17%	16%	12%
	2021	20%	22%	13%	*	9%	17%	-	*	-	*	17%	*	13%	14%	8%	6%
			ST	AAR Per	formance	Rates by I	Enrolle	d Grade at	Meets	Grade L	evel or	Above					
3rd Graders						-											
Reading and Mathematics	2022	36%	38%	38%	44%	30%	44%	_	_	_	*	36%	*	35%	44%	35%	23%
3	2021	24%	30%	30%	17%	15%	43%	*	*	_	*	12%	*	26%	38%	20%	15%
Reading and Mathematics Including EOC	2022	36%	38%	38%	44%	30%	44%	-	-	-	*	36%	*	35%	44%	35%	23%
	2021	24%	30%	30%	17%	15%	43%	*	*	-	*	12%	*	26%	38%	20%	15%
Reading Including EOC	2022	51%	64%	64%	56%	58%	69%	_	_	-	*	43%	*		75%	63%	50%
	2021	38%	45%	45%		33%	57%	*	*	_	*	24%	*		42%	34%	30%
Math Including EOC	2022	43%	41%	41%	44%	32%	49%	_	_	_	*	36%	*		44%	36%	27%
	2021	31%	35%	35%		19%	46%	*	*	_	*	18%	*		41%	25%	21%
4th Graders		0170	3370	2270	3370	1.570	.070					1.570		3270	1170	_5,0	2.70
Reading and Mathematics	2022	36%	33%	33%	29%	22%	42%	_	*	_	50%	12%	33%	31%	35%	22%	19%
. teading and mathematics	2021	26%	28%	28%				_	_	_	*	18%	0%	27%	30%	23%	24%

	School Year	State	District	Campus	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian		Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed (Current)	Ed	Continu- ously Enrolled	ously	Econ Disadv	EB/EL (Current & Monitored)
Reading and Mathematics Including EOC	2022	36%	33%	33%	29%	22%	42%	-	*	-	50%	12%	33%	31%	35%	22%	19%
	2021	26%	28%	28%	*	28%	27%	-	-	-	*	18%	0%	27%	30%	23%	24%
Reading Including EOC	2022	54%	63%	63%	71%	55%	69%	-	*	-	83%	29%	50%	64%	63%	56%	56%
	2021	36%	46%	46%	*	42%	49%	-	-	_	*	23%	50%	43%	50%	42%	45%
Math Including EOC	2022	43%	37%	37%	29%	27%	47%	-	*	_	50%	12%	50%	35%	40%	28%	22%
	2021	36%	36%	36%	*	37%	33%	-	-	-	*	26%	0%	35%	38%	31%	30%
5th Graders																	
Reading and Mathematics	2022	41%	37%	37%	38%	34%	40%	-	-	_	*	31%	*	43%	30%	35%	29%
	2021	34%	30%	30%	*	21%	39%	-	*	_	*	28%	*	29%	30%	20%	19%
Reading and Mathematics Including EOC	2022	41%	37%	37%	38%	34%	40%	-	-	-	*	31%	*	43%	30%	35%	29%
	2021	34%	30%	30%	*	21%	39%	-	*	-	*	28%	*	29%	30%	20%	19%
Reading Including EOC	2022	58%	54%	54%	38%	50%	61%	-	-	-	*	37%	*	61%	46%	49%	53%
	2021	46%	44%	44%	*	33%	55%	-	*	-	*	28%	*	45%	42%	33%	28%
Math Including EOC	2022	48%	46%	46%	38%	48%	45%	-	-	_	*	43%	*	51%	39%	46%	41%
	2021	44%	41%	41%	*	37%	44%	-	*	-	*	39%	*	40%	42%	32%	31%
3rd - 8th Graders																	
Reading and Mathematics	2022	34%	32%	36%	38%	29%	42%	-	*	_	33%	29%	25%	36%	36%	31%	24%
	2021	26%	29%	29%	17%	22%	36%	*	*	-	43%	19%	17%	28%	32%	21%	19%
Reading and Mathematics Including EOC	2022	36%	36%	36%	38%	29%	42%	-	*	-	33%	29%	25%	36%	36%	31%	24%
	2021	28%	31%	29%	17%	22%	36%	*	*	_	43%	19%	17%	28%	32%	21%	19%
Reading Including EOC	2022	53%	63%	61%	54%	54%	67%	-	*	_	67%	38%	58%	61%	61%	56%	53%
	2021	41%	46%	45%	25%	36%	54%	*	*	_	43%	25%	50%	45%	45%	36%	34%
Math Including EOC	2022	43%	40%	41%	38%	36%	47%	-	*	-	33%	34%	33%	42%	41%	37%	30%
	2021	37%	41%	37%	33%	32%	41%	*	*	_	71%	28%	25%	36%	40%	29%	28%

^{*} Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

⁻ Indicates there are no students in the group.

Due to the cancellation of spring 2020 STAAR, 2022 and 2019 progress data are shown.

	School Year		District	Campus	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian		Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed (Current)	Ed	Continu- ously Enrolled	ously	Econ	EB/EL (Current & Monitored)
				Schoo	ol Progress	Domain	- Acad	emic Grow	th Sco	re by Gra	de and	Subject					
Grade 4 ELA/Reading	2022	77	90	90	100	90	87	-	*	-	*	97	*	89	91	86	85
	2019	61	70	70	42	74	71	-	*	-	*	50	100	72	65	67	76
Grade 4 Mathematics	2022	74	53	53	29	45	62	-	*	-	*	28	*	51	55	47	46
	2019	65	71	71	75	65	72	-	*	-	*	68	92	70	73	65	58
Grade 5 ELA/Reading	2022	87	81	81	*	75	86	-	-	-	*	71	*	82	78	76	69
	2019	81	83	83	*	79	87	*	*	-	*	75	*	81	87	80	73
Grade 5 Mathematics	2022	79	81	81	80	85	78	-	-	-	*	91	*	79	86	81	90
	2019	83	82	82	*	82	82	*	*	-	*	72	*	81	83	83	77
All Grades Both Subjects	2022	74	74	76	70	73	78	-	*	-	88	75	83	75	77	73	73
	2019	69	74	77	64	76	79	*	75	-	65	65	97	77	78	75	71
All Grades ELA/Reading	2022	78	82	85	91	82	87	-	*	-	92	81	67	86	85	81	76
	2019	68	73	77	50	77	80	*	*	-	50	61	100	77	78	75	75
All Grades Mathematics	2022	69	65	67	50	65	70	-	*	-	83	69	100	66	69	65	69
	2019	70	74	77	79	76	78	*	*	-	80	70	94	76	79	75	68

^{*} Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

⁻ Indicates there are no students in the group.

Texas Education Agency

2021-22 Bilingual Education/English as a Second Language (Current EB Students/EL) (TAPR) FARMERSVILLE INT (043904102) - FARMERSVILLE ISD - COLLIN COUNTY

Due to the cancellation of spring 2020 STAAR, 2022 and 2019 School progress and STAAR progress measure data are shown.

	School Year	State	District	t Campus	Total Bilingual Education	BE-Trans Early Exit	BE-Trans		BE-Dual One-Way	ALP Bilingual (Exception)			ESL Pull-Out	ALP ESL (Waiver)	EB/EL with Parental Denial		Total EB/EL (Current)	Monitored & Former EB/EL
					STAAR	Performa	nce Rate b	y Subject	and Perfo	rmance Leve	el							
All Grades All Subjects																		
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2022	74%	81%	79%	-	-	-	-	-	-	75%	72%	-	83%	*	80%	71%	94%
	2021	67%	78%	70%	53%	-	-	-	-	53%	-	-	-	-	83%	74%	55%	96%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2022	48%	54%	51%	-	-	-	-	-	-	43%	41%	-	50%	*	53%	41%	56%
	2021	41%	47%	38%	25%	-	-	-	-	25%	-	-	-	-	8%	42%	24%	48%
At Masters Grade Level	2022	23%	24%	25%	-	-	_	-	-	-	18%	19%	-	17%	*	27%	17%	28%
	2021	18%	19%	18%	10%	-	-	-	-	10%	-	-	-	-	0%	20%	10%	15%
All Grades ELA/Reading																		
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2022	75%	83%	85%	-	-	-	-	-	-	85%	81%	-	95%	*	86%	81%	100%
	2021	68%	78%	75%	64%	-	-	-	-	64%	-	-	-	-	100%	77%	66%	100%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2022	53%	62%	61%	-	-	-	-	-	-	53%	47%	-	71%	*	63%	51%	75%
	2021	45%	50%	45%	31%	-	-	-	-	31%	-	-	-	-	0%	48%	29%	78%
At Masters Grade Level	2022	25%	29%	35%	-	-	-	-	-	_	27%	25%	-	33%	*	38%	26%	25%
	2021	18%	19%	25%	14%	-	-	-	-	14%	-	-	-	-	0%	28%	13%	22%
All Grades Mathematics																		
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2022	72%	77%	72%	-	-	-	-	-	-	66%	61%	-	81%	*	74%	63%	88%
	2021	66%	76%	71%	53%	-	-	-	-	53%	-	-	-	-	100%	74%	56%	89%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2022	42%	42%	42%	-	-	-	-	-	_	31%	31%	-	29%	*	45%	30%	38%
	2021	37%	39%	37%	26%	-	_	-	-	26%	-	-	-	-	20%	41%	26%	44%
At Masters Grade Level	2022	20%	16%	16%	-	-	_	-	-	-	12%	14%	-	5%	*	17%	11%	25%
	2021	18%	15%	15%	9%	-	-	-	-	9%	-	-	-	-	0%	17%	9%	22%
All Grades Science																		
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2022	76%	85%	79%	-	-	-	-	-	-	72%	76%	-	67%	-	83%	66%	*
	2021	71%	81%	71%	42%	-	-	-	-	42%	-	-	-	-	*	77%	40%	100%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2022	47%	56%	47%	-	-	-	-	-	-	52%	53%	-	50%	-	46%	47%	*
	2021	44%	53%	37%	13%	-	_	-	-	13%	-	-	-	-	*	44%	12%	29%
At Masters Grade Level	2022	21%	20%	20%	-	-	_	-	_	_	10%	12%	-	8%	-	23%	9%	*
	2021	20%	22%	13%	8%	_	_	_	-	8%		-	-	_	*		8%	0%
						hool Prog	ress Doma	ain - Acade	mic Grow									
All Grades Both Subjects	2022	74%	74%	76%	-	-	_	_	_		74%	74%	-	76%	-	77%	73%	73%
	2019	69%		77%	_	_	_	_	_		67%	63%	69%		_	,-	67%	

Texas Education Agency

2021-22 Bilingual Education/English as a Second Language (Current EB Students/EL) (TAPR) FARMERSVILLE INT (043904102) - FARMERSVILLE ISD - COLLIN COUNTY

Due to the cancellation of spring 2020 STAAR, 2022 and 2019 School progress and STAAR progress measure data are shown.

					Total	BE-Trans				ALP		ESL		ALP	EB/EL with		Total	Monitored &
	School Year		District	Campus	Bilingual Education			BE-Dual Two-Way		Bilingual (Exception)				ESL (Waiver)	Parental Denial		EB/EL (Current)	Former EB/EL
All Grades ELA/Reading	2022	78%	82%	85%	-	-	_	-	-	-	80%	82%	-	78%	-	88%	76%	83%
	2019	68%	73%	77%	-	-	-	-	-		75%	77%	74%		-		75%	
All Grades Mathematics	2022	69%	65%	67%	-	-	-	-	-	-	69%	66%	-	74%	-	66%	70%	64%
	2019	70%	74%	77%	-	-	-	-	-		59%	50%	64%		-		59%	

^{*} Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

⁻ Indicates there are no students in the group.

Blank cell indicates there are no data available in the group.

	State	District	Campus	African American				Asian	Pacific Islander		Special Ed (Current)	Ed	Continu- ously Enrolled	ously	Econ Disadv	EB/EL (Current & Monitored)
					2022 9		l Participat Grades)	ion								
All Tests						•	•									
Assessment Participant	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	*	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Included in Accountability	93%	94%	94%	89%	98%	97%	-	*	-	100%	99%	84%	98%	89%	97%	93%
Not Included in Accountability: Mobile	5%	5%	6%	11%	2%	3%	-	*	-	0%	1%	16%	2%	11%	3%	7%
Not Included in Accountability: Other Exclusions	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	*	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Not Tested	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	*	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Absent	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	*	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	*	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Reading																
Assessment Participant	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	*	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Included in Accountability	92%	93%	94%	89%	98%	97%	-	*	-	100%	99%	86%	98%	89%	97%	93%
Not Included in Accountability: Mobile	5%	6%	6%	11%	2%	3%	-	*	-	0%	1%	14%	2%	11%	3%	7%
Not Included in Accountability: Other Exclusions	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	_	*	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Not Tested	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	*	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Absent	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	*	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	*	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Mathematics																
Assessment Participant	99%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	*	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Included in Accountability	93%	94%	94%	89%	98%	97%	-	*	-	100%	99%	86%	98%	89%	97%	93%
Not Included in Accountability: Mobile	5%	6%	6%	11%	2%	3%	-	*	-	0%	1%	14%	2%	11%	3%	7%
Not Included in Accountability: Other Exclusions	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	*	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Not Tested	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	*	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Absent	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	*	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	_	*	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Science																
Assessment Participant	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	-	*	100%	*	100%	100%	100%	100%
Included in Accountability	93%	96%	96%	89%	100%	97%	-	-	-	*	100%	*	100%	91%	99%	94%
Not Included in Accountability: Mobile	4%	4%	4%	11%	0%	3%	-	-	-	*	0%	*	0%	9%	1%	6%
Not Included in Accountability: Other Exclusions	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	_	-	-	*	0%	*	0%	0%	0%	0%
Not Tested	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-	*	0%	*	0%	0%	0%	0%

			_	African American	_				Pacific Islander			Ed		ously Enrolled		EB/EL (Current & Monitored)
Absent	1%	0%	0%		0%	0%		-	-	*	0%	*	0%	0%	0%	0%
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		-	-	*	0%	*	0%	0%	0%	0%
					2021 9		t Participa Grades)	tion								
All Tests						(All C	Jiuucs,									
Assessment Participant	88%	95%	95%	85%	98%	93%	*	100%	_	90%	95%	77%	96%	93%	95%	97%
Included in Accountability	83%	89%	89%		92%	89%		100%	_	90%	90%	77%	92%	85%	90%	90%
Not Included in Accountability: Mobile	3%		5%		4%	4%				0%	5%	0%	3%	8%	5%	5%
Not Included in Accountability: Other Exclusions	1%		1%		1%	0%				0%	0%	0%		1%	1%	2%
Not Tested	12%	5%	5%	15%	2%	7%	*	0%	_	10%	5%	23%	4%	7%	5%	3%
Absent	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	*	0%	_	0%	1%	9%	1%	1%	2%	1%
Other	10%	4%	4%	15%	2%	5%	*	0%	_	10%	4%	14%	3%	6%	3%	2%
Reading																
Assessment Participant	89%	95%	95%	88%	98%	93%	*	*	-	88%	94%	80%	96%	94%	96%	98%
Included in Accountability	83%	90%	89%	75%	91%	89%	*	*	_	88%	89%	80%	91%	84%	89%	87%
Not Included in Accountability: Mobile	3%	5%	5%	13%	4%	4%	*	*	_	0%	5%	0%	3%	8%	5%	5%
Not Included in Accountability: Other Exclusions	3%	1%	2%	0%	4%	0%	*	*	-	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	2%	6%
Not Tested	11%	5%	5%	13%	2%	7%	*	*	-	13%	6%	20%	4%	6%	4%	2%
Absent	2%	1%	1%	0%	0%	2%	*	*	_	0%	2%	7%	1%	1%	2%	0%
Other	10%	4%	4%	13%	2%	5%	*	*	-	13%	5%	13%	3%	5%	3%	2%
Mathematics																
Assessment Participant	88%	92%	94%	88%	97%	93%	*	*	-	88%	95%	80%	95%	93%	95%	96%
Included in Accountability	84%	87%	89%	75%	93%	89%	*	*	-	88%	91%	80%	92%	85%	90%	91%
Not Included in Accountability: Mobile	4%	5%	5%	13%	4%	4%	*	*	-	0%	5%	0%	3%	8%	5%	5%
Not Included in Accountability: Other Exclusions	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	*	*	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Not Tested	12%	8%	6%	13%	3%	7%	*	*	-	13%	5%	20%	5%	7%	5%	4%
Absent	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%		*	-	0%	0%	7%	2%	1%	2%	2%
Other	10%	7%	4%	13%	2%	5%	*	*	-	13%	5%	13%	3%	6%	3%	2%
Science																
Assessment Participant	87%	98%	95%	83%	97%	94%	-	*	-	*	100%	80%	96%	94%	92%	94%
Included in Accountability	84%	93%	91%	67%	95%	91%	-	*	-	*	100%	80%	93%	88%	89%	91%
Not Included in Accountability: Mobile	3%	5%	4%	17%	2%	3%	-	*	_	*	0%	0%	2%	6%	3%	3%

	State	District	Campus	African American	Hispanic		American Indian		Pacific Islander		Special Ed (Current)	Ed	Continu- ously Enrolled	ously	Econ	EB/EL (Current & Monitored)
Not Included in Accountability: Other Exclusions	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	*	-	*	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Not Tested	13%	2%	5%	17%	3%	6%	-	*	-	*	0%	20%	4%	6%	8%	6%
Absent	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	3%	-	*	-	*	0%	20%	2%	2%	3%	3%
Other	10%	1%	3%	17%	2%	3%	-	*	-	*	0%	0%	2%	4%	4%	3%

^{*} Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

⁻ Indicates there are no students in the group.

Texas Education Agency 2021-22 Attendance, Graduation, and Dropout Rates (TAPR) FARMERSVILLE INT (043904102) - FARMERSVILLE ISD - COLLIN COUNTY

										Two			
				African			American		Pacific	or More	Special	Econ	
	State	District	Campus	American	Hispanic	White		Asian	Islander			Disadv	EB/EL
Attendance Rate													
2020-21	95.0%	97.1%	96.1%	96.5%	95.7%	96.3%	*	*	-	96.7%	95.2%	95.3%	96.0%
2019-20	98.3%	98.9%	98.9%	98.6%	99.1%	98.8%	-	*	-	98.6%	98.8%	98.9%	99.3%
Chronic Absenteeism													
2020-21	15.0%	6.7%	9.3%	4.3%	9.7%	9.5%	*	*	_	7.1%	11.9%	11.4%	7.6%
2019-20	6.7%	4.7%	3.3%	4.2%	2.4%	4.2%	-	*	-	0.0%	6.0%	3.0%	1.9%
Annual Dropout Rate (C	Gr 7-8)												
2020-21	0.9%	0.0%	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
2019-20	0.5%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Annual Dropout Rate (C	Gr 9-12))											
2020-21	2.4%	0.8%	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-
2019-20	1.6%	0.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
4-Year Longitudinal Ra	te (Gr 9)-12)											
Class of 2021													
Graduated	90.0%	96.1%	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-
Received TxCHSE	0.3%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
Continued HS	3.9%	1.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
Dropped Out	5.8%	2.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduates and TxCHSE	90.3%	96.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers	94.2%	97.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Class of 2020													
Graduated	90.3%	96.6%	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
Received TxCHSE	0.4%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Continued HS	3.9%	3.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dropped Out	5.4%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduates and TxCHSE	90.7%	96.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers	94.6%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5-Year Extended Longit	tudinal	Rate (G	r 9-12)										
Class of 2020													
Graduated	92.2%	100.0%	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Received TxCHSE	0.5%	0.0%	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
Continued HS	1.1%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dropped Out	6.2%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduates and TxCHSE	92.7%	100.0%	-	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-

Texas Education Agency 2021-22 Attendance, Graduation, and Dropout Rates (TAPR) FARMERSVILLE INT (043904102) - FARMERSVILLE ISD - COLLIN COUNTY

										Two			
										or		_	
	State	District	Campus	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander		Special Ed	Econ Disadv	EB/EL
Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers		100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Class of 2019													
Graduated	92.0%	96.0%	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-
Received TxCHSE	0.5%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Continued HS	1.3%	2.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dropped Out	6.1%	1.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduates and TxCHSE	92.6%	96.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers	93.9%	98.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6-Year Extended Longi	tudinal	Rate (G	r 9-12)										
Class of 2019													
Graduated	92.6%	98.4%	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-
Received TxCHSE	0.6%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Continued HS	0.6%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dropped Out	6.2%	1.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduates and TxCHSE	93.2%	98.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers	93.8%	98.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Class of 2018													
Graduated	92.6%	96.6%	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-
Received TxCHSE	0.7%	0.8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Continued HS	0.6%	0.8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dropped Out	6.1%	1.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduates and TxCHSE	93.3%	97.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers	93.9%	98.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4-Year Federal Graduat	tion Ra	te Witho	ut Exclus	ions (Gr 9	-12)								
Class of 2021	90.0%		-	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-
Class of 2020	90.3%	96.6%	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_
RHSP/DAP Graduates ((Longit	udinal R	ate)										
Class of 2021	87.5%		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Class of 2020	83.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FHSP-E Graduates (Lo	ngitudi	nal Rate)										
Class of 2021	3.8%			-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Class of 2020	4.3%	5.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FHSP-DLA Graduates (Longit	udinal R	ate)										

Texas Education Agency 2021-22 Attendance, Graduation, and Dropout Rates (TAPR) FARMERSVILLE INT (043904102) - FARMERSVILLE ISD - COLLIN COUNTY

	State	District	Campus	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander		Special Ed	Econ Disadv	EB/EL
Class of 2021	81.9%	73.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Class of 2020	83.5%	88.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHSP/DAP/FHSP-E/FHS	SP-DLA	A Gradua	ites (Long	gitudinal R	ate)								
Class of 2021	85.7%	83.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Class of 2020	87.8%	93.9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHSP/DAP Graduates (Annua	l Rate)											
2020-21	43.8%	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
2019-20	38.6%	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
FHSP-E Graduates (Ani	nual Ra	ate)											
2020-21	3.8%	9.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2019-20	4.4%	5.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
FHSP-DLA Graduates (Annual	Rate)											
2020-21	80.4%	71.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
2019-20	81.8%	87.3%	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	-
RHSP/DAP/FHSP-E/FHS	SP-DLA	Gradua	ites (Ann	ual Rate)									
2020-21	84.1%	80.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2019-20	85.8%	92.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Texas Education Agency 2021-22 Graduation Profile (TAPR) FARMERSVILLE INT (043904102) - FARMERSVILLE ISD - COLLIN COUNTY

	Campus Count	Campus Percent		State Count
Graduates (2020-21 Annual Gradu	iates)			
Total Graduates	-	-	129	358,842
By Ethnicity:				
African American	-	-	3	44,018
Hispanic	-	-	47	183,306
White	-	-	72	103,898
American Indian	-	-	0	1,195
Asian	-	-	3	18,030
Pacific Islander	-	-	0	553
Two or More Races	-	-	4	7,842
By Graduation Type:				
Minimum H.S. Program	-	-	0	934
Recommended H.S. Program/Distinguished Achievement Program	-	-	0	729
Foundation H.S. Program (No Endorsement)	-	-	25	56,281
Foundation H.S. Program (Endorsement)	-	-	12	13,582
Foundation H.S. Program (DLA)	-	-	92	287,316
Special Education Graduates	-	-	20	31,028
Economically Disadvantaged Graduates	-	-	52	184,225
Emergent Bilingual (EB)/English Learner (EL) Graduates	-	-	1	32,809
At-Risk Graduates	-	-	19	155,884
CTE Completers	-	-	6	99,076

Texas Education Agency 2021-22 College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR) (TAPR) FARMERSVILLE INT (043904102) - FARMERSVILLE ISD - COLLIN COUNTY

There is no data for this campus.

Texas Education Agency 2021-22 CCMR-Related Indicators (TAPR) FARMERSVILLE INT (043904102) - FARMERSVILLE ISD - COLLIN COUNTY

There is no data for this campus.

Texas Education Agency 2021-22 Other Postsecondary Indicators (TAPR) FARMERSVILLE INT (043904102) - FARMERSVILLE ISD - COLLIN COUNTY

There is no data for this campus.

			Enrollment						
	Car	npus			Campus				
Student Information	Count	Percent	District	State	Count	Percent	District	State	
Total Students	552	100.0%	2,018	5,402,928	552	100.0%	2,025	5,427,370	
Students by Grade:									
Early Childhood Education	0	0.0%	0.2%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.5%	0.4%	
Pre-Kindergarten	0	0.0%	3.7%	4.1%	0	0.0%	3.7%	4.1%	
Pre-Kindergarten: 3-year Old	0	0.0%	1.0%	0.6%	0	0.0%	1.0%	0.6%	
Pre-Kindergarten: 4-year Old	0	0.0%	2.6%	3.5%	0	0.0%	2.6%	3.5%	
Kindergarten	0	0.0%	6.7%	6.8%	0	0.0%	6.7%	6.8%	
Grade 1	0	0.0%	7.4%	7.1%	0	0.0%	7.4%	7.1%	
Grade 2	127	23.0%	6.3%	7.1%	127	23.0%	6.3%	7.1%	
Grade 3	150	27.2%	7.4%	7.1%	150	27.2%	7.4%	7.1%	
Grade 4	140	25.4%	6.9%	7.1%	140	25.4%	6.9%	7.1%	
Grade 5	135	24.5%	6.7%	7.2%	135	24.5%	6.7%	7.2%	
Grade 6	0	0.0%	8.4%	7.4%	0	0.0%	8.3%	7.4%	
Grade 7	0	0.0%	7.2%	7.7%	0	0.0%	7.2%	7.7%	
Grade 8	0	0.0%	8.0%	7.9%	0	0.0%	8.0%	7.8%	
Grade 9	0	0.0%	8.7%	8.8%	0	0.0%	8.6%	8.8%	
Grade 10	0	0.0%	8.2%	7.6%	0	0.0%	8.2%	7.5%	
Grade 11	0	0.0%	7.1%	7.2%	0	0.0%	7.1%	7.2%	
Grade 12	0	0.0%	7.0%	6.7%	0	0.0%	7.0%	6.7%	
Ethnic Distribution:									
African American	30	5.4%	4.5%	12.8%	30	5.4%	4.5%	12.8%	
Hispanic	241	43.7%	42.6%	52.8%	241	43.7%	42.4%	52.7%	
White	254	46.0%	48.3%	26.3%	254	46.0%	48.5%	26.3%	
American Indian	1	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	1	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	
Asian	4	0.7%	0.8%	4.8%	4	0.7%	0.8%	4.8%	
Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	
Two or More Races	22	4.0%	3.5%	2.9%	22	4.0%	3.5%	2.9%	
Sex:									
Female	275	49.8%	50.4%	48.9%	275	49.8%	50.4%	48.8%	
Male	277		49.6%	51.1%			49.6%	51.2%	
Economically Disadvantaged	310	56.2%	53.4%	60.7%	310	56.2%	53.2%	60.6%	
Non-Educationally Disadvantaged	242			39.3%		43.8%		39.4%	
Section 504 Students	42		8.6%	7.4%		7.6%		7.4%	
EB Students/EL	116							21.7%	

		Mem	bership			Enro	llment	
	Can	npus			Car	npus		
Student Information	Count	Percent	District	State	Count	Percent	District	State
Students w/ Disciplinary Placements (2020-21)	1	0.2%	0.7%	0.6%				
Students w/ Dyslexia	53	9.6%	6.9%	5.0%	53	9.6%	6.9%	5.0%
Foster Care	3	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%	3	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Homeless	0	0.0%	0.7%	1.1%	0	0.0%	0.7%	1.1%
Immigrant	8	1.4%	0.7%	2.0%	8	1.4%	0.7%	2.0%
Migrant	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Title I	551	99.8%	45.3%	64.3%	551	99.8%	45.5%	64.3%
Military Connected	0	0.0%	0.5%	3.3%	0	0.0%	0.5%	3.3%
At-Risk	146	26.4%	23.2%	53.5%	146	26.4%	23.1%	53.5%
Students by Instructional Program:								
Bilingual/ESL Education	116	21.0%	14.0%	21.9%	116	21.0%	13.9%	21.8%
Career and Technical Education	0	0.0%	41.4%	25.8%				
Career and Technical Education (9-12 grades only)	0	0.0%	85.8%	71.0%				
Gifted and Talented Education	23	4.2%	4.9%	8.0%	23	4.2%	4.9%	8.0%
Special Education	107	19.4%	13.5%	11.6%	107	19.4%	13.8%	11.7%
Students with Disabilities by Type of Primary Disability	/ :							
Total Students with Disabilities	107							
By Type of Primary Disability Students with Intellectual Disabilities	57	53.3%	48.5%	43.0%				
Students with Physical Disabilities	25	23.4%	24.3%	20.8%				
Students with Autism	14	13.1%	11.0%	14.7%				
Students with Behavioral Disabilities	11	10.3%	14.3%	20.0%				
Students with Non-Categorical Early Childhood	0	0.0%	1.8%	1.5%				
Mobility (2020-21):								
Total Mobile Students	79	13.7%	12.3%	13.6%				
By Ethnicity: African American	3	0.5%	0.9%	2.5%				
Hispanic	30	5.2%	4.7%	6.6%				
White	43	7.5%	6.2%	3.5%				
American Indian	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%				
Asian	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%				
Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%				
Two or More Races	3	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%				
Count and Percent of Special Ed Students who are Mobile	18	16.4%	20.0%	15.7%				
Count and Percent of EB Students/EL who are Mobile	9	7.6%	8.7%	12.1%				
Count and Percent of Econ Dis Students who are Mobile	36	10.8%	13.7%	15.0%				

		Membership			Enrollment			
	Car	npus			Car	npus		
Student Information	Count	Percent	District	State	Count	Percent	District	State
Student Attrition (2020-21):								
Total Student Attrition	59	15.3%	13.0%	18.9%				

	Non-Special Education Rates			Special Education Rates				
Student Information	Campus	District	State	Campus	District	State		
Retention Ra	ates by G	rade:						
Kindergarten	-	0.9%	1.9%	-	9.1%	5.2%		
Grade 1	-	3.3%	2.9%	-	0.0%	4.2%		
Grade 2	2.7%	2.7%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%		
Grade 3	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%		
Grade 4	1.0%	1.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%		
Grade 5	0.8%	0.8%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%		
Grade 6	-	0.8%	0.6%	-	0.0%	0.6%		
Grade 7	-	0.0%	0.7%	-	0.0%	0.7%		
Grade 8	-	0.0%	0.6%	-	0.0%	0.8%		
Grade 9	-	0.7%	10.5%	-	14.3%	14.1%		

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject (Derived from teacher responsibility records):

Class Size Information	Campus	District	State
Elementary:			
Kindergarten	-	22.4	18.7
Grade 1	-	22.1	18.7
Grade 2	18.6	18.6	18.6
Grade 3	21.1	21.1	18.7
Grade 4	20.9	20.9	18.8
Grade 5	18.7	18.7	20.2
Grade 6	-	23.5	19.2
Secondary:			
English/Language Arts	-	18.0	16.3
Foreign Languages	-	19.8	18.4
Mathematics	-	19.6	17.5

Texas Education Agency 2021-22 Student Information (TAPR)

FARMERSVILLE INT (043904102) - FARMERSVILLE ISD - COLLIN COUNTY

Class Size Information	Campus	District	State
Science	-	20.4	18.5
Social Studies	-	20.9	19.1

	Campus	5		
Staff Information	Count/Average	Percent	District	State
Total Staff	49.4	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Professional Staff:	42.1	85.1%	62.3%	64.1%
Teachers	35.6	72.0%	48.6%	49.3%
Professional Support	4.5	9.1%	8.2%	10.7%
Campus Administration (School Leadership)	2.0	4.0%	3.2%	2.9%
Educational Aides:	7.3	14.9%	11.9%	11.1%
Librarians and Counselors (Headcount):				
Full-time Librarians	1.0	n/a	1.0	4,194.0
Part-time Librarians	0.0	n/a	0.0	607.0
Full-time Counselors	0.0	n/a	2.0	13,550.0
Part-time Counselors	1.0	n/a	2.0	1,176.0
Total Minority Staff:	2.8	5.6%	20.9%	52.1%
Teachers by Ethnicity:				
African American	0.0	0.0%	1.6%	11.2%
Hispanic	0.0	0.0%	4.5%	28.9%
White	33.7	94.8%	91.7%	56.4%
American Indian	1.9	5.2%	1.5%	0.3%
Asian	0.0	0.0%	0.7%	1.9%
Pacific Islander	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Two or More Races	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%
Teachers by Sex:				
Males	0.9	2.5%	26.5%	24.1%
Females	34.7	97.5%	73.5%	75.9%
Teachers by Highest Degree Held:				
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%
Bachelors	28.2	79.4%	76.7%	72.6%
Masters	7.3	20.6%	22.6%	25.2%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	0.7%	0.8%
Teachers by Years of Experience:				
Beginning Teachers	0.9	2.6%	1.5%	7.9%
1-5 Years Experience	6.5	18.2%	19.6%	26.7%
6-10 Years Experience	10.8	30.3%	26.4%	20.6%
11-20 Years Experience	11.0	30.9%	35.7%	28.6%
21-30 Years Experience	4.5	12.8%	15.2%	13.2%

	Campus	Campus		
Staff Information	Count/Average	Percent	District	State
Over 30 Years Experience	1.9	1.9 5.2%		2.9%
Number of Students per Teacher	15.5	n/a	16.3	14.6

Staff Information	Campus	District	State
Experience of Campus Leadership:			
Average Years Experience of Principals	5.0	8.3	6.3
Average Years Experience of Principals with District	5.0	8.3	5.4
Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals	1.0	4.0	5.5
Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals with District	1.0	4.0	4.8
Average Years Experience of Teachers:	11.9	12.4	11.1
Average Years Experience of Teachers with District:	6.1	5.4	7.2
Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience (regular d	uties only):		
Beginning Teachers	\$53,118	\$53,114	\$51,054
1-5 Years Experience	\$55,643	\$55,595	\$54,577
6-10 Years Experience	\$59,715	\$60,025	\$57,746
11-20 Years Experience	\$64,944	\$66,643	\$61,377
21-30 Years Experience	\$73,320	\$74,768	\$65,949
Over 30 Years Experience	\$75,552	\$75,552	\$71,111
Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only):			
Teachers	\$62,981	\$63,893	\$58,887
Professional Support	\$75,245	\$77,221	\$69,505
Campus Administration (School Leadership)	\$82,753	\$87,400	\$84,990
Instructional Staff Percent:	n/a	62.2%	64.9%
Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above):	0.0	0.0	2,113.6

	Cam	npus				
Program Information	Count Percent		District	State		
Teachers by Program (population served):						
Bilingual/ESL Education	0.9	2.6%	0.9%	6.2%		
Career and Technical Education	0.0	0.0%	8.6%	5.2%		
Compensatory Education	4.1	11.5%	7.7%	3.0%		
Gifted and Talented Education	0.8	2.1%	0.7%	1.7%		
Regular Education	26.4	74.4%	66.1%	70.8%		

	Campus			
Program Information	Count	Percent	District	State
Special Education	3.3	9.4%	10.7%	9.6%
Other	0.0	0.0%	5.3%	3.5%

- Indicates there is no data for the item.
- * Indicates results are masked due to small numbers.
- ** When only one student disability or assessment group is masked, then the second smallest student disability or assessment group is masked regardless of size.

 n/a Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.
- ? Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable or were reported outside a reasonable range.

Link to: PEIMS Financial Standard Reports 2020-21 Financial Actual Report

(To open link in a new window, press the "Ctrl" key and click on the link.)